Rapaport v. Soffer

Doc. 96

1 discovery and the oppositions to the motives were not substantially justified. 2 Defendant could not identify, and the Court could not find, any other circumstances that 3 would make an award of expenses unjust. 4 Defendant's conduct has multiplied the expenses to Plaintiff in this case. Defendant and 5 his counsel have acted in bad faith, vexatiously violating Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, as well as those Rules 6 directly implicated in the discovery efforts by the Plaintiff. Plaintiff, Rapaport, should not be 7 required to pay for these added expenses. 8 The Court discounts Plaintiff's request to allow for overlaps identified by the Defendant 9 and then subtracts the \$1500 sanction amount previously paid by Soffer. 10 Amount requested \$34,225.00 11 Less 15% 5,283.75 Subtotal 12 \$28,941.25 13 Less 1,500.00 \$27,441.25 14 Total 15 There is no basis to discount the claimed costs of #1,953.93. Therefore that amount is 16 granted. Good cause appearing therefore, 17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that sanctions and costs due and payable to the Plaintiff is 18 \$29,395.18. This amount shall be paid jointly and severally, by Defendant Soffer, his counsel 19 Efrem Rosenfeld and the law firm of Rosenfeld & Bauman on or before January 31, 2013. 20 DATED this 31st day of December, 2012 21 22 23 24 United States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28