| 1  | Marc J. Randazza (Admitted pro hac vice)   |   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  | J. Malcolm DeVoy IV (Nevada Bar No. 11950)<br>RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP                                 |   |  |
| 3  | mjr@Randazza.com<br>jmd@Randazza.com   |   |  |
| 4  | 7001 W. Charleston Boulevard, # 1043<br>Las Vegas, NV 89117  |   |  |
| 5  | Telephone: 888-667-1113<br>Facsimile: 305-437-7662   |   |  |
| 6  |  |   |  |
| 7  | Attorneys for Defendants,<br><i>Vote for the Worst LLC,</i><br><i>Nathan E. Palmer</i>             |   |  |
| 8  | and David J. Della Terza   |   |  |
| 9  |  |   |  |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |  |
| 11 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |   |  |
| 12 | RIGHTHAVEN, LLC, a Nevada limited liability  | Case No. 2:10-cv-01045-KJD-RJJ                              |  |
| 13 | company,   |   |  |
| 14 | Plaintiff,   | ORDER GRANTING<br>PARTIES' JOINT STIPULATION TO             |  |
| 15 | VS.  | ADMIT EVIDENCE RELATING TO<br>PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS FOR |  |
| 16 | VOTE FOR THE WORST, LLC, an Utah limited-liability company; NATHAN E.                              | LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER<br>JURISDICTION                      |  |
| 17 | PALMER, an individual; and DAVID J. DELLA<br>TERZA, an individual,                                 |   |  |
| 18 | Defendants.  |   |  |
| 19 | PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PARTI  | ES' JOINT STIPULATION TO ADMIT                              |  |
| 20 | EVIDENCE RELATING TO PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS<br>FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION          |   |  |
| 21 |  | ties' stipulation to admit new evidence (Doc. #             |  |
| 22 | 44) with respect to the pending Motion to Dismiss (Doc. # 33) and related briefing (Docs. # 40,    |   |  |
| 23 | 43), hereby GRANTS the parties' stipulation to admit the evidence attached as Exhibit A to their   |   |  |
| 24 | Stipulation (Doc. # 44-1), Sections 3.2 and 19.4 of Righthaven LLC's Operating Agreement.          |   |  |
| 25 | This evidence is already on record in another case pending in this District, <i>Righthaven</i>     |   |  |
| 26 | <i>LLC v. DiBiase</i> , Case No. 2:10-cv-01343 (Doc. # 51) (D. Nev. Apr. 17, 2011). As such, it is |   |  |
| 27 | judicially noticeable. The timing of the parties' stipulation and this Court's Order is proper     |   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
|    | - 1  | -   |  |

because this relevant evidence was not available to the Defendants at the time their Motion to
Dismiss (Doc. # 33) was filed. As the parties have not yet engaged in formal discovery pending
the resolution of this Motion to Dismiss, Defendants did not have access to this evidence before
it was placed on the public record in *DiBiase*. Moreover, the sections of the Operating
Agreement put before this Court are relevant to proving the parties' contentions as to the proper
ownership of the copyright underlying this litigation.

Therefore, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that the evidence submitted by the parties as Exhibit A to their Stipulation (Doc. # 44) is ADMITTED and will be considered by the Court in conjunction with the Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss and related briefing.

## **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

| But |  |
|-----|--|

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

**DATED:** 6/1/11