

complaints name the same defendants, allege the same facts and constitutional violations and seek
 similar injunctive relief.¹ On July 16, 2010, an SDCC inmate filed a fifth action regarding the same
 allegations, which was subsequently consolidated with the above matters (*Powell v. Skolnik, et al.*, 2:10 cv-01182-PMP-LRL).

On July 29, 2010, another SDCC inmate filed a sixth action that again sets forth nearly
identical allegations as those described above (*Charbonnet v. Skolnik, et al.*, 2:10-cv-01273-GMN-RJJ
(docket #1)). Halverson also assisted Charbonnet in preparing his complaint.

8 Under Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court may consolidate actions 9 when they "involve a common question of law or fact." FRCP 42(a)(2). Such consolidation promotes 10 judicial efficiency and avoids potentially conflicting results. *See generally*, FRCP 42. The instant 11 action, along with the three other actions discussed herein, involve nearly identical allegations, and thus 12 clearly involve common questions of law or fact. Accordingly, the instant case is consolidated with the 13 four other actions discussed herein that have previously been consolidated.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the following action shall be consolidated
 pursuant to FRCP 42:

- 16 CHARBONNET V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01273-GMN-RJJ
- 17 with the cases that were previously consolidated, the lead case being
- 18 HALVERSON V. SKOLNIK, ET AL, 2:10-cv-01132-PMP-LRL.
 - **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the following action:
- 20 CHARBONNET V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01273-GMN-RJJ

21 is hereby re-assigned to United States District Judge Philip M. Pro and United States Magistrate Judge
22 Lawrence R. Leavitt.

- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall file a copy of this order in
 each of these actions:
- 25

26

19

¹The complaints in all consolidated actions will be screened pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) after the receipt and resolution of applications to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

1	CHARBONNET V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01273-GMN-RJJ;
2	POWELL V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01182-JCM-PAL;
3	FRIXIONE V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01235-PMP-RJJ;
4	JONES V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01214-RLH-LRL;
5	REA V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01217-PMP-PAL
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall modify the docket of each
7	of these actions:
8	HALVERSON V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01132-PMP-LRL;
9	CHARBONNET V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01273-GMN-RJJ;
10	POWELL V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01182-JCM-PAL;
11	FRIXIONE V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01235-PMP-RJJ;
12	JONES V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01214-RLH-LRL;
13	REA V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01217-PMP-PAL
14	to reflect that these six matters have been consolidated and that the lead action is:
15	HALVERSON V. SKOLNIK, ET AL., 2:10-cv-01132-PMP-LRL.
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each of the actions shall proceed in its own right.
17	
18	DATED this 20 th day of <u>September</u> , 2010.
19	1 About
20	ROGER L. HUNT
21	Chief United States District Judge
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2