Exhibit 10

Exhibit 10

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. (1216) J. COLBY WILLIAMS, ESQ. (5549) 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-5222 Facsimile: (702) 382-0540 Email: djc@campbellandwilliams.com jcw@campbellandwilliams.com Attorneys for Counterdefendant Stephens Media, LLC	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-GWF
12	liability company,	Case No., 2.10 of 61336 leaf G W2
13	Plaintiff,	•
14	V	
15 16	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual,	
17	Defendants.	COUNTERDEFENDANT STEPHENS
18	D 010Hadis.	MEDIA, LLC'S ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT AND
19		COUNTERCLAIMANT DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
20	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a	
21	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company,	
22	Counterclaimant,	
23	v.	
24	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	
25	liability company; and STEPHENS MEDIA LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company,	
26		
27	Counterdefendants.	
28		
S. WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET LAS VESAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE 702/3825222 PAX: 702/38240540		1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

COUNTERCLAIMANT and ITS COUNSEL OF RECORD TO:

Stephens Media, LLC ("Stephens Media"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds and objects to the First Set of Interrogatories (the "Interrogatories") propounded by Counterclaimant Democratic Underground, LLC ("DU") as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Stephens Media's responses to the Interrogatories have been prepared pursuant to a reasonable and duly diligent search for information properly requested in those areas where such information is expected to be found. To the extent the Interrogatories purport to require more, Stephens Media objects on the ground that they seek to compel Stephens Media to conduct a search beyond the scope of discovery contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and responding to such requests would impose an undue burden and expense on Stephens Media, particularly given its status solely as a Counterdefendant in the above action. The following responses and objections are without prejudice to Stephens Media's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered facts. Stephens Media also reserves the right to assert additional privileges if warranted by new documents or evidence discovered at a later date.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories, including the Definitions and 1. Instructions contained therein, to the extent they purport to impose on Stephens Media obligations greater than those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules of this Court. In particular, Stephens Media objects to DU's definition of "You" and "Your" as it refers to an entity other than Stephens Media and, thus, makes all of the Interrogatories unintelligible. Notwithstanding the foregoing defect contained in DU's Interrogatories, Stephens Media has endeavored to answer them to the best of its ability.
 - Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information 2.

document.

protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, created in anticipation of litigation, or protected by any other applicable privilege or immunity. The inadvertent production of any such document or information shall not constitute a waiver of any such privilege or immunity, and Stephens Media and its counsel reserve their right to demand the return of any inadvertently produced documents or information.

- 3. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they purport to require disclosure of confidential business or commercially sensitive information.
- 4. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they purport to require Stephens Media to provide information which is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Nothing contained in any response herein (including the production of any information or documents) shall be deemed to be an admission, concession, or waiver by Stephens Media to the relevance, materiality or admissibility of any information or
- 5. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they purport to require Stephens Media to provide information beyond what may be obtained through a reasonably diligent search of its records.
- 6. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds they are vague, overbroad, oppressive, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject of the litigation, and/or are not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this action.
- 7. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories for failing to define an applicable time period, which renders them overly broad, oppressive, unduly burdensome and unlikely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 8. Stephens Media objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information already in the possession, custody or control of DU or already in the public domain and,

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

701 SOUTH SEVENTH STREE
LAS VEGAS, NEWADA 89101
PHONE: 702/382-5222
EAX: 702/382-0540

therefore, equally accessible to DU.

- 9. Nothing contained in any response shall be deemed to be a concession or waiver by Stephens Media to the validity of any claim asserted by DU.
- 10. Stephens Media responds to the Interrogatories to the best of its knowledge and on the basis of the information provided to its counsel as of this date. Stephens Media reserves the right to alter, amend, supplement, augment or otherwise change its responses as appropriate and to object to the admissibility or relevancy of evidence of any portion of the documents, or the information contained therein, produced in response to a particular request. No response or lack of response herein is intended to, or shall be understood to, limit the legal theories, factual contentions, or evidence to be employed, relied upon, or presented during any proceeding in this case.

RESPONSES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

IDENTIFY the number of PAGE VIEWS of the WEB PAGE containing the NEWS ARTICLE on the LVRJ WEB SITE for each day between May 12, 2010 and the present.

ANSWER NO. 1:

Objection. This Interrogatory is overbroad and unduly burdensome in that it seeks the number of page views for each individual day between May 12, 2010 and the present. Without waiving the foregoing objections, the Web Page containing the News Article had 8,075 page views between May 12, 2010 and December 28, 2010.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

IDENTIFY the number of PAGE VIEWS of the WEB PAGE containing the NEWS ARTICLE on the LVRJ WEBSITE that were referred by, directed from or delivered from the DU WEBSITE.

- Conservatives4palin.com 60 page views
- Dailykos.com 60 page views
- EFF.org 60 page views
- Forum.hucksarmy.com 60 page views
- Huffingtonpost.com 60 page views
- Lasvegassun.com 60 page views
- News.firedoglake.com 60 page views
- Polipundit.com 60 page views
- Pollster.com 60 page views
- Uselectionatlas 60 page views
- Voices.washingtonpost.com 60 page views
- Webmaila.juno.com = 60 page views

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

IDENTIFY each type of revenue paid with respect to views of the LVRJ WEBSITE (e.g., banner ad, pay per click ads, subscriptions, archives, etc), including but not limited to types for the WEB PAGE containing the NEWS ARTICLE, and the total revenue realized from each such type.

ANSWER NO. 4:

See General Objections. In particular, the information sought by this Interrogatory is not relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, is inadmissible, and is not otherwise reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, this Interrogatory seeks confidential business and/or commercially sensitive information. Additionally, to the extent any information sought by this Interrogatory is the subject of legitimate discovery in this action, Stephens Media will only produce such information once a binding protective order in

700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: 702/382-5222 FAX: 702/382-0540

28

place. Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Righthaven, LLC drafted a proposed protective order and sent it to DU for its comments on December 7, 2010. DU did not respond until two judicial days before the due date for these Responses.

Without waiving the foregoing objections, Stephens Media does not sell advertising space or maintain records for individual news articles.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

IDENTIFY each advertiser or supplier of ads, and state the cost paid to the LVRJ WEBSITE by each, if any, for any and all advertisements delivered to viewers of the NEWS ARTICLE on the LVRJ WEBSITE.

ANSWER NO. 5:

See General Objections. In particular, the information sought by this Interrogatory is not relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, is inadmissible, and is not otherwise reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, this Interrogatory seeks confidential business and/or commercially sensitive information. Additionally, to the extent any information sought by this Interrogatory is the subject of legitimate discovery in this action, Stephens Media will only produce such information once a binding protective order in place. Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Righthaven, LLC drafted a proposed protective order and sent it to DU for its comments on December 7, 2010. DU did not respond until two judicial days before the due date for these Responses.

Without waiving the foregoing objection, Stephens Media does not sell advertising space or maintain records for individual news articles.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

IDENTIFY the average cost per PAGE VIEW and per click paid to LVRJ, if any, by each advertiser or supplier of ads identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4 for any and all



700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 PHONE: 702/382-5222 FAX: 702/382-0540 commence this action.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

DESCRIBE ALL current, past or future plans to license the NEWS ARTICLE, if any.

ANSWER NO. 11:

See General Objections. In particular, the information sought by this Interrogatory seeks confidential business and/or commercially sensitive information. The Interrogatory is also vague and ambiguous with respect to the term of art "license."

Without waiving the foregoing objections, Stephens Media licenses editorial material to which it has appropriate rights to various databases, such as NewsBank and Lexis Nexis. The News Article also appears for sale in the paid archives of the Las Vegas *Review-Journal* website. Additionally, Stephens Media earns income from the sale of replica editions of LVRJ newspapers as well as from content it provides to amazon.com for its kindle product. Finally, the News Article was available to the McClatchy Tribune news service until early November 2010 under a previous agreement.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

IDENTIFY and DESCRIBE the terms of ALL "monetary commitments" and "commitments to services to be provided and/or already provided" as referenced in the JULY 19, 2010 ASSIGNMENT.

ANSWER NO. 12:

See General Objections. In particular, the information sought by this Interrogatory is not relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, is inadmissible, and is not otherwise reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, this Interrogatory seeks confidential business and/or commercially sensitive information. Additionally, to the extent any information sought by this Interrogatory is the subject of legitimate discovery in this



CO SOUTH SEVENTH STREET