Righthaven I	LC v. Democratic Underground, LLC et al							
	SHAWN A MANCANO ESO							
1	SHAWN A. MANGANO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6730							
	shawn@manganolaw.com							
2	SHAWN A. MANGANO, LTD.							
3	9960 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 170 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129-7701							
-	Tel: (702) 683-4788							
4	Fax: (702) 922-3851							
5	J. CHARLES COONS, ESQ.							
Ŭ	Nevada Bar No. 10553							
6	ccoons@righthaven.com							
7	Assistant General Counsel at Righthaven LLC							
1	JOSEPH C. CHU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11082							
8	jchu@righthaven.com							
9	Staff Attorney at Righthaven LLC							
۲	Righthaven LLC 9960 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 210							
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129-7701							
11	(702) 527-5900							
11	Attorneys for Righthaven LLC							
12								
13	IINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT						
13		DISTRICT COURT						
13 14		DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA						
14								
	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA						
14	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-							
14 15 16	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH						
14 15	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	OF NEVADA						
14 15 16	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER						
14 15 16 17 18	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER						
14 15 16 17 18	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, V.	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants.	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants.	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company, Counterclaimant,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DISTRICT RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company, Plaintiff, v. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company; and DAVID ALLEN, an individual, Defendants. DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC, a District of Columbia limited-liability company, Counterclaimant,	OF NEVADA Case No.: 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RHH JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW						

RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limitedliability company; and STEPHENS MEDIA LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company,

Counter defendants.

Plaintiff/Counter-defendant, Righthaven LLC ("Righthaven"), and Defendant David Allen, and Defendant/Counter-claimant Democratic Underground, LLC ("Democratic Underground"), and Counter-defendant Stephens Media LLC ("Stephens Media") all collectively known herein as the "Parties" by and through their respective counsel, pursuant to Rule 26(f)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby submit their proposed Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order ("Discovery Order").

A. <u>Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) Conference.</u> On December 1, 2010, the Parties conducted the discovery conference as required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). In attendance on behalf of Righthaven was Shawn A. Mangano and J. Charles Coons; in attendance on behalf of Democratic Underground was Laurence Pulgram and Corynne McSherry; and in attendance on behalf of Stephens Media, LLC was Wade Rabenhorst.

Due to the delay in organizing and completing a compliant Rule 26(f) conference, the Parties request this Court consider a special scheduling request. The Parties request the requisite 180-day discovery plan from the date of the Rule 26(f) conference, rather than the date of first appearance by the Defendants. This special scheduling request is a minor deviation from the date structure provided in LR 26-1(e) and is sought in good faith to accommodate the delay in organizing the Rule 26(f) conference and providing the court a Discovery Order. Consequently, allowing for a standard 180-day discovery period from the date of the Rule 26(f) conference will provide the Parties the time required to develop and litigate this copyright infringement action without the prejudice of lapsed deadlines that currently exist under a 180-day discovery plan from the date of the Defendants' first appearance.

1. <u>Fed. R. Civ. F</u>	. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) Changes: The Parties shall submit their initial			
disclosures no	disclosures no later than December 15, 2010 , fourteen (14) days from the date			
of the Early C	of the Early Case Conference (the "Discovery Conference"), as required by			
Fed. R. Civ. F	2. 26(a).			
2. <u>Fed. R. Civ. F</u>	2. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(A) Scope of Discovery: The Parties believe that			
discovery sho	uld extend to the full extent allowed by the Federal Rules of			
Civil Procedu	Civil Procedure and should not be limited to any particular issues. The			
subjects as to	subjects as to which discovery will be allowed include all factual issues raised			
in and/or relev	in and/or relevant to the claims or defenses in the Complaint, Answer			
(including aff	(including affirmative defenses) and Counterclaim.			
3. <u>Fed. R. Civ. F</u>	2. 26(f)(3)(B) Timing of Discovery:			
a. Th	e Parties propose the following timetable for discovery, based			
on	a six-month schedule:			
i.	Discovery Cut-Off Date: The cut-off date for discovery shall			
	be May 30, 2011, 180 days from the date of the Discovery			
	Conference.			
ii.	Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties: All motions			
	to amend the pleadings or to add parties shall be filed not later			
	than the March 1, 2011, 90 days prior to the scheduled close of			
	discovery.			
iii.	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures (Experts): Disclosures			
	concerning experts shall be made no later than the March 30,			
	2011, 62 days before the discovery cut-off date. Disclosures			
	concerning rebuttal experts shall be made no later than the			
	April 29, 2011, 30 days after the initial disclosure of experts.			

iv. <u>Dispositive Motions</u>: The date for filing dispositive motions shall not be later than the June 29, 2011, 30 days after the discovery cut-off date. In the event that the discovery period is extended from the discovery cut-off date set forth in this Discovery Order, the date for filing dispositive motions shall be extended to be not later than 30 days from the subsequent discovery cut-off date.

- v. <u>Pretrial Order</u>: The date for filing the joint pretrial order shall not be later than the **July 29, 2011,** 30 days after the cutoff date for filing dispositive motions. In the event that dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or until further order of the court. In the further event that the discovery period is extended from the discovery cut-off date set forth in this Discovery Order, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be extended in accordance with the time periods set forth in this paragraph.
- vi. <u>Extensions or Modifications of the Discovery Plan and</u> <u>Scheduling Order</u>: Any stipulation or motion must be made not later than the May 10, 2011, 20 days before the discovery cut-off date.
- vii. <u>Interim Status Report</u>: The Parties shall file the interim status report, if required, by the March 31, 2011, 60 days before the discovery cut-off date.

_			
4.			
	Parties agree to preserve all potentially discoverable documents, including		
	electronically-stored information. The disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information shall be handled as follows:		
	a. As	s used in this section 3(a), the following terms shall be defined as	
	fo	llows:	
	i.	"Alter" shall mean alter, change, modify, revise, corrupt, delete	
		and/or destroy, but, in accordance with Fed. R.Civ. P. 37(e)	
		shall not include alteration as a result of the routine, good-faith	
		operation of an electronic information system.	
	ii.	"Information" shall mean all discoverable material,	
		information, matter, and data, in any form or format of storage,	
		subject to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
	iii.	"Custodial Persons" shall mean any of the Parties' or their	
		subsidiaries' (including, without limitation, the Las Vegas	
		Review Journal which is owned by Stephens Media)	
		employees, off-site employees, contractors, agents,	
		representatives or other Persons under the control of or acting	
		in concert with a Party, in possession of Electronic Data that is	
		potentially discoverable in this case, including, without	
		limitation, those Persons that owe any Party a contractual or	
		other fiduciary duty to maintain, preserve, keep confidential or	
		otherwise protect its Electronic Data.	
	iv.	"Party" shall mean Righthaven LLC, David Allen, Democratic	
		Underground LLC, and Stephens Media LLC.	

v. "Person" shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, general partner, limited partnership, limited-liability partnership, limited-liability company, member, trust, association, organization or any form of entity whatsoever. b. Each Party shall preserve and not Alter all Information, including electronically-stored information, in that Party's possession, custody or control that is potentially discoverable in this case in their existing format regardless of any pre-existing retention policies. c. Each Party shall instruct all Custodial Persons to preserve and not Alter all Information potentially discoverable in this case in their existing format, regardless of any pre-existing retention policies. d. Each Party shall produce electronically-stored data in multi-page OCR .tif format, with Concordance load files with specifications as agreed upon by the parties (for compatibility with the most common litigation document review system), with the exception of Excel or similar spreadsheets which shall be produced in native format. If a party receiving such production contends that a native or other non-.tif format is necessary to ascertain discoverable information, it may request a party to produce in native format. Any dispute as to the necessity of production in native format shall be resolved by the Magistrate Judge. -A Party desiring not to produce metadata associated with any e Information created prior to the commencement of this action shall be required to seek a protective order protecting against such production and shall have the burden of proof of establishing the impropriety of production of any such metadata. As to information created after commencement of this action, metadata need not be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

produced if a party asserts that such metadata contains privileged information, provided that the party must list the existence of any such data in its privilege log and, if the parties cannot agree thereafter regarding its production, a motion to compel its production will be presented to the Magistrate Judge.

5. Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f)(3)(D) Claims of Privilege or Protection as Trial-Preparation Material: A party claiming that any item within the scope of discovery is protected as either privileged or as trial-preparation material, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(b)(5), shall submit a detailed privilege log detailing the nature of the privilege or the basis for the item's or items' protection as trial preparation material. Such a privilege log shall be limited to communications prior to the commencement of this action, and shall be produced within (21) days following the date that the documents memorialized in the privilege log were to be produced by the party from whom discovery is being sought. If a party becomes aware of a privileged document having been produced the parties shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(B).

6. <u>Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f)(3)(E) Changes</u>: None at this time.

7. <u>Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f)(3)(F) Orders</u>: None at this time.

B. Later-Appearing Parties: A copy of this Discovery Order shall be served on 1 any person who is hereafter added as a party to this action within five days of that later-2 appearing Party's first appearance. This Discovery Order shall apply to such later-3 appearing party or parties, unless: (1) a stipulation of the Parties is approved by this 4 Court, or (2) this Court, on motion for good cause shown, orders otherwise. 5 Dated this 3rd day of December, 2010. 6 **RIGHTHAVEN LLC** 7 8 By: /s/ Shawn A. Mangano_ 9 SHAWN A. MANGANO, ESQ. 10 Nevada Bar No. 6730 shawn@manganolaw.com 11 SHAWN A. MANGANO, LTD. 12 J. CHARLES COONS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10553 13 ccoons@righthaven.com Asst. General Counsel at Righthaven LLC 14 JOSEPH C. CHU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11082 15 jchu@righthaven.com Staff Attorney at Righthaven LLC 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant Righthaven LLC 17 18 19 STEPHENS MEDIA, LLC 20 21 By: _/s/ J. Colby Williams_ 22 J. COLBY WILLIAMS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5549 23 jcw@campbellandwilliams.com CAMPBELL AND WILLIAMS 24 Attorneys for Counterdefendant Stephens 25 Media, LLC 26 27 28

1	DEMOCRATIC UNDERGROUND, LLC. and DAVID ALLEN	
2		
3	By: <u>/s/ Laurance F. Pulgram</u>	
4	LAURENCE F. PULGRAM (CSB NO. 115163) (pro hac vice)	
5	lpulgram@fenwick.com	
6	FENWICK & WEST LLP	
7	KURT OPSAHL (pro hac vice)	
8	kurt@eff.org CORYNNE MCSHERRY (pro hac vice)	
9	corynne@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER	
10	FOUNDATION	
11	CHAD BOWERS (NV State Bar No. 7283)	
12	bowers@lawyer.com CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD	
13		
14	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimant DEMOCRATIC	
15	UNDERGROUND, LLC, and Defendant DAVID ALLEN	
16		
17		IT IS SO ORDERED:
18		II IS SO ORDERED:
19		
20		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21		
22		DATED: Dec. 21, 2010
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		9