


1 infer from these documents that Defendants are liable for the alleged misconduct. Thus, Plaintiff
2 has not shown a likelihood of success. The parties agreements may show a contractual
3 relationship, but they do not show more than the mere possibility of misconduct. A temporary
4 restraining order is an extraordinary form of relief, and the Court cannot issue such relief without
5 Plaintiff demonstrating a likelihood of success on the merits. Accordingly, the Court denies
6 Plaintiff's Motion.

7 **CONCLUSION**

8 Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,
9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining
10 Order (#2) is DENIED.

11 Dated: August 23, 2010.

12
13 
14 **ROGER L. HUNT**
15 **Chief United States District Judge**