

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge 1

Legal Standard

2 A complaint must include a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a)(2). The statement of the claim is intended to "give the 3 4 defendant fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atlantic Corp. 5 v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (internal citations omitted). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil 6 Procedure 12(b)(6), courts may dismiss causes of action that "fail[] to state a claim upon which relief 7 can be granted."

8 The court must "accept all factual allegations in the complaint as true." Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 551 U.S. 308, 322 (2007). Further, the court must draw all reasonable 9 10 inferences in plaintiff's favor. Twombly, 550 U.S. at 547. However, "[t]o survive a motion to 11 dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter . . . to state a claim to relief that is 12 plausible on its face." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (internal citations omitted). 13 Although "not akin to a 'probability requirement," the plausibility standard asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. Id. "Where a complaint pleads facts that are 14 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability, it 'stops short of the line between possibility and 15 plausibility of entitlement to relief." Id. 16

17

1.

Failure to comply with RESPA rules

18 Plaintiff's first claim alleges various violations of RESPA, 12 U.S.C. § 2605. (Doc. #9). 19 Specifically, plaintiff asserts the following RESPA violations: (1) defendants charged excessive and 20 predatory fees, (2) defendants received kickbacks from a controlled business agreement, and (3) 21 defendants failed to acknowledge receipt and respond to plaintiff's qualified written responses ("QWR"). (Doc. #9). 22

23

28

To the extent plaintiff alleges violations of §§ 2607 and 2608, these claims are barred by the 24 applicable statute of limitations. 12 U.S.C. § 2614 provides that an action pursuant to §§ 2607 or 25 2608 may be brought within one year "from the date of the occurrence of the violation." Here, the 26 alleged violations occurred in 2005, when plaintiff executed the deeds of trust. Plaintiff did not 27 bring the instant lawsuit until September 2010. (Doc. #1). Accordingly, plaintiff's §§ 2607 and

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge 1 2608 claims are time-barred by the one year statute of limitations. *See* 12 U.S.C. § 2614.

Plaintiff also asserts that defendants violated § 2605 when they allegedly failed to
acknowledge receipt of and reply to plaintiff's QWRs. (Doc. #9). Pursuant to 12 U.S.C. §
2605(f)(1)(A), an individual asserting a violation of § 2605 can recover "actual damages." Thus, to
recover under § 2605(f)(1)(A), a plaintiff must allege sufficient factual matter suggesting that the
plaintiff suffered actual damages. *Hamilton v. Bank of Blue Valley*, 746 F. Supp. 2d 1160, 1175
(E.D. Cal. 2010); *Sitanggang v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 419 Fed. Appx. 756, at *1 (9th Cir.
2011).

9 Here, plaintiff simply asserts that "[b]y following the direction and instruction of the
10 [d]efendants the [p]laintiff has suffered damage to her credit rating, the title to her property has been
11 clouded and she has lost her home." (Doc. #9). The factual allegations in the complaint are
12 insufficient to "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. at 1949. Plaintiff
13 has not pled sufficient factual matter to demonstrate that defendants caused the claimed damages.
14 Instead, plaintiff has pled only "facts that are 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability"
15 *Id*. This is insufficient to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion. *Id*.

16

2.

Fraud and intentional deceit

Plaintiff's second claim for fraud and intentional deceit alleges that defendants "committed
fraud by tell (sic) her that the only way she could obtain a loan modification was to not make
payments for at least 90 days." (Doc. #9).

"In alleging fraud or mistake, a party must state with particularity the circumstances
constituting fraud or mistake." FED. R. CIV. P. 9(b). "Averments of fraud must be accompanied by
'the who, what, when, where, and how' of the misconduct charged." *Vess v. Ciba-Geigy Corp. USA*,
317 F.3d 1097, 1106 (9th Cir. 2003) (quoting *Cooper v. Pickett*, 137 F.3d 616, 627 (9th Cir. 1997)).
If a complaint asserting a fraud claim fails to meet the heightened pleading requirements of Rule
9(b), a district court may dismiss the claim. *Id.* at 1107.

Plaintiff's complaint fails to allege the "who, what, when, where, and how" of the
misconduct charged. *Id.* at 1106. Accordingly, dismissal of plaintiff's fraud claim is appropriate.

28

Breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing
 In Nevada, an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing exists in every contract, *Consol Generator-Nevada v. Cummins Engine*, 917 P.2d 1251, 1256 (Nev. 1998), and a plaintiff may assert
 a claim for breach of the covenant if the defendant deliberately contravenes the intention and spirit
 of the agreement, *Morris v. Bank Am. Nev.*, 886 P.2d 454 (Nev. 1994).

Plaintiff does not allege any facts indicating that defendants were "unfaithful to the purpose
of the contract." *See Hilton Hotels Corp. v. Butch Lewis Productions, Inc.*, 808 P.2d 919, 923 (Nev.
1991). Plaintiff only asserts that defendants "engaged in predatory lending" and did "not have the
authority to initiate the foreclosure process." (Doc. #9). These allegations provide no more than
"labels and conclusions" and are, therefore, insufficient to state a claim upon which relief can be
granted. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555.

12 **4.** Quiet title

An action for quiet title should be dismissed where plaintiff's claim "is not based on a
cognizable legal theory." *Elias v. HomeEQ Servicing*, 2009 WL 481270 at *2 (D. Nev. 2009). A
borrower cannot quiet title to a property without discharging any debt owed. *Futch v. BAC Home Loans Servicing*, *LP*, 2011 WL 4544006 at *3 (D. Nev. 2011).

Plaintiff has not carried her burden of showing that she possesses good title. The subject
property in this case is encumbered by a deed of trust, and plaintiff has not alleged that she has paid
the loan secured by the deed of trust. Thus, plaintiff has not pled a quiet title claim upon which relief
can be granted.

21

27

28

5. Declaratory judgment

A claim for declaratory relief is a remedy, not a cause of action. *See Stock West, Inc. v. Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation*, 873 F.2d 1221, 1225 (9th Cir. 1989). The
declaratory relief remedy derives from the substantive claims for relief. *Roberts v. McCarthy*, 2011
WL 1363811 at *4 (D. Nev. 2011). If the substantive claims fail, then the claim for declaratory relief
also fails. *Id.*

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

- 4 -

Plaintiff has failed to plead a claim for which relief can be granted. FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6).
 Accordingly, plaintiff's substantive claims fail, and the claim for declaratory relief also fails.

3

6.

Fraudulent assignment

The premise of plaintiff's fraudulent assignment claim is that the note and the deed of trust
were separated when MERS became involved. Thus, plaintiff relies on the split the note theory
rejected by the Ninth Circuit in *Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 656 F.3d 1034, 1044
(9th Cir. 2011). Additionally, plaintiff has failed to allege the "the who, what, when, where, and
how" of the alleged fraud. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 9(b); *Vess*, 317 F.3d at 1106. Accordingly, plaintiff
has failed to plead a claim upon which relief can be granted.

10 **7.** Wrongful foreclosure

Plaintiff's wrongful foreclosure claim asserts that Quality did not have the authority to
foreclose on this property. (Doc. #9). Thus, plaintiff argues that the foreclosure did not comply with
NRS 107.080 and is, therefore, invalid. (Doc. #9).

"An action for the tort of wrongful foreclosure will lie if the trustor or mortgagor can
establish that at the time . . . the foreclosure occurred, no breach of condition or failure of
performance existed" *Collins v. Union Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass 'n*, 662 P.2d 610, 623 (Nev.
1983). Thus, the material inquiry in a wrongful foreclosure action "is whether the trustor was in
default when the power of sale was exercised." *Id.*

It is well-established that MERS has the authority to make assignments and substitutions.
 See, e.g., Cervantes, 656 F.3d at 1044; *In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation*, 2011 WL 4550189 (MDL Oct. 3, 2011).

- As noted above, plaintiff has not alleged that she has paid the loan secured by the deed of trust. *See Collins*, 662 P.2d at 623. Therefore, her wrongful foreclosure claim must fail. Further, plaintiff's pleadings are not sufficient to establish that Quality did not have the authority to foreclose on this property. *See Cervantes*, 656 F.3d at 1044.
- 26 Accordingly,
- 27 . . .

28

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Quality Loan
2	Service Corporation's motion to dismiss (doc. #32) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court shall close the above-captioned case and
4	enter final judgment accordingly.
5	DATED April 17, 2012.
6	
7	Xellus C. Mahan
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge	- 6 -