21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 THE RICHARD AND SHEILA J. McKNIGHT 2000 FAMILY TRUST, Richard McKnight 8 Trustee, 9 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:10-cy-01617-RCJ-GWF 10 **ORDER** VS. 11 WILLIAM J. BARKETT, an individual; CASTAIC PARTNERS II, LLC, 12 Defendants. 13 THOMAS J. KAPP AND CYNTHIA S. ROHER, TRUSTEES OF THE T. & C. KAPP FAMILY 14 TRUST, 15 Intervenor Plaintiff, 16 VS. 17 WILLIAM J. BARKETT, an individual; CASTAIC PARTNERS II, LLC, 18 19 Intervenor Defendants. 20

The Court has received the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#176) filed April 26, 2012. The proposed Order before the Court does not comply with the requirements of LR 26-1. LR 26-1(e)(1) sets a period of 180 days as a presumptively reasonable amount of time in which to conduct discovery. Discovery plans requesting longer than 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or appears require special scheduling review. The parties have requested 340 days from the date they filed the discovery plan to complete discovery. Where such special scheduling review is requested, the plan shall state the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply. The parties are to provide justification for a 340 day discovery period. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#176) is **denied**, without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to file a revised Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order in compliance with LR 26-1(d), including any request for special scheduling review, within seven (7) days of the date of this order.

DATED this 27th day of April, 2012.

GEORGE FOLEY, OR.

United States Magistrate Judge