1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	GEORGE LUSTER,	1
9 10	Plaintiff,	2:10-cv-01661-GMN-PAL
10	VS.	
11	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.	ORDER
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	This removed pro se prisoner civil rights action comes before the Court	

This removed *pro se* prisoner civil rights action comes before the Court on plaintiff's third motion (#16) for enlargement of time, in which he seeks an additional fourteen days to file an amended complaint.

Petitioner maintains that he needs additional time because: (a) he allegedly had to prepare a motion to reopen the habeas matter in No. 2:04-cv-00334-RLH-RJJ, "totaling 77 pages with exhibits," after receiving the state supreme court's order of affirmance on March 30, 2011; and (b) prison authorities allegedly have impeded his access to the law library.

Plaintiff is represented by appointed federal habeas counsel in No. 2:04-cv-00334.
The Court therefore ordered that his *pro se* motion to reopen be stricken from that record.
The Court further admonished plaintiff that if he continued to file papers *pro se* therein that
sanctions, including potentially dismissal, may be imposed. The Court additionally noted that
there was no valid reason for plaintiff to file the motion *pro se* rather than proceeding through
counsel because the deadline for filing the motion to reopen did not expire until May 27, 2011,
forty-five days after the issuance of the remittitur.

Plaintiff thus has had ample time and opportunity to file an amended complaint herein.
Any alleged interference with his law library access clearly has not caused him to be unable
to file an amended complaint herein, as he was able to file "77 pages with exhibits" in the
other action despite there being absolutely no need to do so. Put simply, if plaintiff had been
doing what he was supposed to be doing rather than what he was not, there would be no
need to request a further extension in this case.

The Court nonetheless will grant this, final, extension request. No further extension
requests will be entertained. If plaintiff fails to meet the deadline, the Court then will proceed
to appropriate action as to the pendent state law claims that remain.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion (#16) for an enlargement of time
 is GRANTED, such that plaintiff shall have up to and including April 25, 2011, within which
 to mail an amended complaint to the Clerk for filing. No further requests for extension of
 time will be entertained. THIS IS THE FINAL EXTENSION OF THE DEADLINE.

DATED this 22nd day of April, 2011.

Gloria M. Navarro United States District Judge