James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

GARRY NEWMAN, et al,,

Defendants.

2:10-CV-1762 JCM (PAL)

## **ORDER**

Presently before the court is defendant Garry Newman's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. (Doc. #19). To date, the plaintiff has not filed a response.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(b), an opposing party's failure to file a timely response to any motion constitutes the party's consent to the granting of the motion and is proper grounds for dismissal. *U.S. v. Warren*, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). However, prior to dismissal, the district court is required to weigh several factors: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)).

In light of the plaintiff's failure to respond and weighing the factors identified in *Ghazali*, the court finds dismissal appropriate.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion to dismiss (doc. #19) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED without prejudice. DATED July 22, 2011. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge