INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY



EXHIBIT A

Electronically Filed 10/04/2010 11:53:22 PM

| 1 | COMP | Alun & Elmin | |
|----|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805 | CLERK OF THE COURT | |
| 3 | ROSENFELD & RINATO 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | 702.385.3025 (fax) jgoldsmith@lawrosen.com | | |
| 6 | In Proper Person | | |
| 7 | DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 8 | JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., an | CASE NO. A - 10 - 626728 - C | |
| 9 | Individual | DEPT. NO. XVII | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 11 | vs. | EXEMPT FROM ARBITRATION: | |
| 12 | JORDAN R. COOPER, an Individual; CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL, an | Action for Extraordinary Relief | |
| 13 | I I I I DACEDOOM DIG | | |
| 14 | CORPORATIONS 1 through 5, inclusive, | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | |
| 16 | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | 1 | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | //// | | |
| 22 | <i> </i> | | |
| 23 | //// | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

· 25

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., a member of the State Bar of Nevada, is, and has been for a period of more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant JORDAN R. COOPER is, and has been for a period of
 more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County
 of Clark.
 - 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL is a resident of the State of Arizona, and has, by means of the Internet, participated and conducted tortious acts across state lines in the State of Nevada and all states with access to the Internet.
 - 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. is a foreign corporation licensed in the State of California and doing business primarily as an Internet social networking website with reach to all states with access to the Internet, including the State of Nevada.
 - 5. Plaintiff is counsel of record in a domestic case in the Eighth Judicial District Court whereby Defendant Cooper is an opposing party.
 - 6. Plaintiff has had no contact with Defendant Cooper or any Defendant except through his counsel of record in the aforementioned case.
 - 7. Nevertheless, Defendants have consistently and continually committed defamation and privacy violations in addition to several other purposeful tortious acts against Plaintiff through the use of the world wide web and by other means.
 - 8. Specifically, on or around October 1, 2010 at around 3:30 p.m., Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published defamatory and demeaning statements specifically directed at Plaintiff which stated: "im on to you jonathan golddick(smith) ha faggot" and further stated "he looks like a pediphile (sic)."
 - 9. Further, Defendants published the statement of a Facebook user which stated: "ha I want to se (sic) what that douche bag looks like."

///

- 10. Moreover, on or around October 2, 2010 at around 6:10 p.m., Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of illegal and torious invasion of privacy, stole a private photograph depicting the likeness of Plaintiff, and, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published the stolen picture on Defendant Cooper's internet page, which is managed and maintained by Defendant Facebook.
- 11. Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll made disparaging remarks about the stolen photograph, and Defendant Cooper Driscoll acknowledged that Defendants had committed unlawful and tortious behavior by posting the following statement: "delete that picture already."
- 12. Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook, published statements about Plaintiff, including that Plaintiff is a "faggot" and a "pedophile" which constitute slander per se.
- 13. Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of Defendant Facebook, caused to be published a personal and private photograph depicting the name, image and likeness of Plaintiff along with disparaging statements coinciding with the stolen picture.
- 14. With regard to all allegations related to torts committed against Plaintiff over the internet, Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 15. Plaintiff, who is an attorney in the State of Nevada runs an operates a law firm in the State.
- 16. Much of Plaintiff's business is dependant on the public's view of Plaintiff's moral character, reliability and reputation.
- 17. Defendants' actions have already, and will likely continue to demean and diminish Plaintiff's reputation in the legal community as well as with Plaintiff's personal social community.
- 18. Plaintiff uses Defendant Facebook's marketing and advertising programs to advertise and market Plaintiff's business.
- 19. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has been forced to seize all advertising and marketing through Defendant Facebook given that a diminishment of Plaintiff's reputation is taking place through Defendant Facebook as a result of Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll's actions.
- 20. Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendant's actions.

- 21. Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 22. Most notably, in around August, 2010, Plaintiff observed Defendant's yellow Ford mustang with black stripes, with the license plate "Sage 3", parked outside of Plaintiff's law office located at 1212 South Casino Center Boulevard in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 23. Additionally, after Plaintiff moved offices, Defendant Cooper has driven by and appeared several times at Plaintiff's new office located in Henderson, Nevada while driving a red Ford Mustang.
- 24. Defendant Cooper Driscoll has also engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 25. Specifically, Defendant Cooper Driscoll created a false profile on Defendant Facebook's website using a false name and false picture, in order to gain access to Plaintiff's personal and private information.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander against all Defendants)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 27. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 28. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 29. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 30. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 31. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 32. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 33. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander Per Se against all Defendants)

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding patro reperalthough fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 35. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 37. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and done in a far are Plaintiff.
- 38. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and condition in injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office
- 39. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in the contract of \$10,000.00.
- 40. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or opposition therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 41. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without the apparatus may and all attorneys' fees.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel against all Defendants)

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding a second although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 43. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning the law in
- 44. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.

- 45. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 46. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 47. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 48. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 49. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel Per Se against all Defendants)

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 51. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 52. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 53. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 54. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and would tend to injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office
- 55. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

- 56. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 57. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Light Defamation against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 59. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral and written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 60. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 61. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 62. Defendants' actions placed Plaintiff in a false light in a way that the general public would find highly offensive.
- 63. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 64. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 65. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

///

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 66. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 67. Defendants intentionally and maliciously intruded into Plaintiff's private affairs.
- 68. Defendants' intrusion would be found highly offensive to the general public.
- 69. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 70. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 71. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Interference with Business Relations against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 72. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 73. Plaintiff conducts business as an Attorney in the State of Nevada.
- 74. Plaintiff previously held contracts with Defendant Facebook for marketing and advertising over the Internet.
- 75. Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll knew or should have known of the existence of Plaintiff's business in the state of Nevada and the advertising and marketing contracts with Defendant Facebook.

- 76. Defendants intentionally made false claims about Plaintiff with the intent to drive business away and induce the interference with Plaintiff's contracts.
- 77. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 78. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 79. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 80. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 81. Defendants engaged in outrageous conduct wilfully and maliciously directed at Plaintiff with the intent to cause emotional distress.
- 82. Plaintiff suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendants' intentional actions.
- 83. Defendants' actions were the proximate cause of Plaintiff's extreme emotional distress.
- 84. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 85. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 86. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Stalking against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 87. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 88. Defendant Cooper, without lawful authority, willfully or maliciously engages in a course of conduct that would cause a reasonable person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 89. Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 90. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 91. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 92. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(a) against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 93. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 94. Defendants intentionally intercepted or endeavored to intercept, an electronic communication that was of private nature to Plaintiff.
- 95. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

- 96. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or opposite a park therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 97. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to proceed the services of counsel to proceed the services and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without Finfanti account and all attorneys' fees.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(e) against Defendant Cooper to the least

- 98. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the precedible of the precedible
- 99. Defendants intentionally disclosed communications unlawfully obtained in the communication of the communications unlawfully obtained in the communications of the communications unlawfully obtained in the communications of the communicatio
- 100. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in an experience of \$10,000.00.
- 101. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice on which therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 102. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to provide a realistic claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without the bedome to and all attorneys' fees.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Permanent Injunction against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Drison)

- 103. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding the mount of although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 104. Defendants have in the past, and are likely to continue in the future, to cause the lambda irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

| 105. Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants | use of Plaintiffs' | name and |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| likeness in connection with false and/or misleading communications. | | |

- 106. Plaintiff is additionally entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants' actions that constitute stalking and harassment.
- 107. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as follows:

- For damages in excess of \$10,000.00 against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 2. For exemplary or punitive damages against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 3. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 4. For a permanent injunction against each Defendant as alleged in the Complaint; and
- 5. For any other relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 5th day of October, 2010.

/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq.

JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805 ROSENFELD & RINATO 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074 702.386.8637 (phone) 702.385.3025 (fax)

VERIFICATION State of Nevada) ss: County of Clark) I, Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq., under the penalty of perjury, do hereby swear and affirm that all allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint are made from fact and personal knowledge, unless made by information and belief, in which case are stated to be made by information and belief. Dated this 5th day of October, 2010 /s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq. JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY



EXHIBIT B

Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Search Back

Location: District Court Civil/Criminal Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE No. A-10-626728-C

Jonathan Goldsmith, Plaintiff(s) vs. Jordan Cooper, Defendant(s)

 $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$

Case Type: Intentional Misconduct Subtype: Torts/Defamation Date Filed: 10/04/2010 Location: Department 17 A626728

Conversion Case Number:

PARTY INFORMATION

Cooper, Jordan R. Defendant

Defendant

Driscoll, Cheryl Cooper

Defendant

Facebook Inc

Plaintiff

Goldsmith, Jonathan B.

Jonathan B Goldsmith,

ESQ

Retained

702-448-7981(W)

Lead Attorneys

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

10/04/2010 10/04/2010

Case Opened Complaint

Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief Motion for Preliminary Injunction

10/05/2010

Ex Parte Motion for Preliminary Injunction **Order Shortening Time**

10/07/2010

Application for an Order Shortening Time and Order

10/18/2010

Certificate of Service Certificate of Service

10/25/2010

Motion for Preliminary Injunction (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Villani, Michael)

Ex Parte Motion for Preliminary Injunction 11/08/2010 Reset by Court to 10/25/2010

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff Goldsmith, Jonathan B. Total Financial Assessment

Total Payments and Credits

Balance Due as of 10/20/2010

10/05/2010 10/05/2010 Wiznet

Transaction Assessment

Receipt # 2010-51965-CCCLK

Goldsmith, Jonathan B.

270.00 270.00

0.00

270.00 (270.00)

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY



EXHIBIT C

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK OOBO WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NV 89145

AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTIAN M. ORME IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION

| STATE OF NEVADA |) | |
|-----------------|------|--|
| |) ss | |
| COUNTY OF CLARK |) | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Christian M. Orme, Esq., being first duly sworn, deposes and says that:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed and duly practicing law in the State of Nevada and an associate at Hutchison & Steffen, LLC. In that capacity, my law firm represents Facebook, Inc. (hereinafter "Facebook" and/or "Defendant"). I prepared and read Facebook's Petition for Removal of Civil Action and know the matters set forth to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I make this affidavit in support of the same.
- 2. Plaintiff Jonathan B. Goldsmith ("Plaintiff") brought this action in the District Court of Nevada, Clark County, entitled Jonathan B. Goldsmith v. Jordan R. Cooper, Cheryl Cooper Driscoll, and Facebook, Inc., Case No. A-10-626728-C. The action was assigned to Department VXII of that Court. Defendant has not been properly served with a copy of the Complaint. This is the first paper received for which it could use to ascertain removability.
- 3. Goldsmith alleges that Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll posted "defamatory and harassing statements and comments" on Facebook. Based on this, Goldsmith concludes that "Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory or harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll" (Compl., ¶ 14) and that Facebook is liable for slander and libel. Compl., ¶¶ 26-57. The Complaint does not allege that Facebook created or otherwise assisted in the creation of the "statements and comments."
 - 4. The Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230 ("CDA") states that "[n]o

10 11 12 PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK OO8O WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NV 89145 13 14 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider." 42 U.S.C. § 230(c)(1). The statute explicitly immunizes covered individuals and entities against state tort claims by mandating that "[n]o cause of action may be brought and no liability may be imposed under any State or local law that is inconsistent with this section." 47 U.S.C. § 230(e)(3). Liability for state tort claims simply cannot be imposed unless the defendant is an "information content provider," defined as "any person or entity that is responsible, in whole or in part, for the creation or development of information provided through the Internet." 47 U.S.C. § 230(f)(3).

- 5. This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it arises under provisions of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2511.
- 6. To the extent the other claims alleged by Plaintiff do not relate to the CDA, they are "separate and independent" claims, such that the removal of this entire dispute is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(c). See generally Risetto v. Plumbers & Steamfitters Local 343, 94 F.3d 597, 600n.2 (9th Cir. 1996) (holding the district court possessed supplemental jurisdiction over other state law claims because the action was properly removable in light of the preemption of the breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant claims).
- 7. Defendant may remove this action to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) without regard to the amount in controversy or citizenship of the parties.
- 8. This Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, over the remaining state law claims in Goldsmith's Complaint because such claims form part of the same alleged case or controversy as the claims based upon federal law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 9. This notice of removal is timely because Defendant has not been properly served. Nevertheless, Defendant is filing this notice of removal within thirty days of the filing of the Complaint on or about October 4, 2010.
- 10. Facebook is not the only defendant in this case. Defendants Jordan Cooper and Cheryl Cooper Driscoll have agreed to join in this removal. Thus, there is complete joinder of defendants in this matter. Facebook has attached copies of the Complaint as Exhibit A and an index of the pleadings from the state court action as Exhibit B.
- 11. Facebook has concurrently filed a copy of this notice with the Eighth Judicial District Court.
 - 12. Facebook has served upon Plaintiff a copy of this notice.
- 13. The Petition for Removal of Civil Action is signed pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 14. Affiant caused to be served a copy of the Notice of Removal and Petition for Removal of Civil Action upon Plaintiff, by depositing it in the United States Mail, on October 21, 2010, in an envelope properly addressed to:

Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq. (11805) Rosenfeld & Rinato 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074

15. On October 21, 2010, I filed with the County Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court, in and for Clark County, Nevada a Notice of Removal, seeking removal of the above-mentioned action to the United States District Court, District of Nevada, together with a copy of the Petition for Removal of Civil Action and attached exhibits, by filing such copies with the County Clerk's Office

27 ///

28

Further, Affiant sayeth naught.

DATED this 2) day of October, 2010.

CHRISTIAN M. ORME

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 2/5 day of October, 2010.

Notary Public in and for said County and State

