INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

A PROFESSIONAL LLC

EXHIBIT A

WC

28

OPP 11.10-1

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 11 Filed 11/03/10 Page 2 of 9

1	This Motion is based on FRCP 65, the Points and Authorities attached hereto, the exhibits and
2	affidavits attached hereto, the pleadings on file herein and on any oral argument which may be allowed
3	at the time of the hearing on this matter.
4	NOTICE OF MOTION
5	NOTICE OF MOTION TO: JORDAN B. COOPER.
6	TO: JORDAN R. COOPER;
7	TO: CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL;
8	TO: FACEBOOK, INC.; and
9	TO: Defendants' Counsel of Record;
10	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order will come
11	on for hearing before the above-entitled court on the day of, 2010 at the
12	hour of o'clockm.
13	DATED this 3rd day of November, 2010.
14	/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq. JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.
15	Nevada Bar No. 11805
16	9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074
17	702.386.8637 (phone) 702.385.3025 (fax)
18	//// ////
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I. FACTS

Plaintiff filed a Complaint against Defendants with the Eighth Judicial District Court on or around October 5, 2010 alleging, among other things, several causes of action that rise to defamation of character including: slander, slander per se, libel, libel per se, false light defamation, and invasion of privacy, as well as intentional interference with business relations, intentional infliction of emotional distress, stalking and allege several violations of Federal wiretapping laws. Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief on or around October 27, 2010. *See* Exhibit 1, pp.1-15.

Plaintiff is counsel of record in a domestic case in the Eighth Judicial District Court whereby Defendant Cooper is an opposing party. Plaintiff has had no contact with Defendant Cooper or any Defendant except through his counsel of record in the aforementioned case. Nevertheless, Defendants have consistently and continually committed defamation and privacy violations in addition to several other purposeful tortious acts against Plaintiff through the use of the world wide web and by other means.

Specifically, on or around October 1, 2010 at around 3:30 p.m., Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published defamatory and demeaning statements specifically directed at Plaintiff which stated: "im on to you jonathan golddick(smith) ha faggot" and further stated "he looks like a pediphile (sic)." *See* Exhibit 2, pp. 1-2. Further, Defendants published the statement of a Facebook user identified as "Ziles Thomas" which stated: "ha I want to se (sic) what that douche bag looks like." *See* Exhibit 2, pp. 1-2.

Moreover, and in response to "Ziles Thomas" statement published by Defendants, on or around October 2, 2010 at around 6:10 p.m., Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of illegal and tortious invasion of privacy, stole a private photograph depicting the likeness of Plaintiff, and, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published the stolen picture on Defendant Cooper's internet page, which is managed and maintained by Defendant Facebook. *See* Exhibit 2, pp. 1. Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll made disparaging remarks about the stolen photograph, and Defendant Cooper Driscoll acknowledged that Defendants had committed unlawful and tortious behavior by posting the following statement: "delete that picture already." *See* Exhibit 2, pp. 1.

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff. In around August 2010, Plaintiff observed Defendant's yellow Ford Mustang with black stripes, with the license plate "Sage 3", parked outside of Plaintiff's law office located at 1212 South Casino Center Boulevard in Las Vegas, Nevada. After being aware of Cooper's stalking, Plaintiff observed Cooper's yellow mustang driving by Plaintiff's office several times in around August 2010.

Additionally, after Plaintiff moved offices to 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800, Henderson, Nevada 89074, Defendant Cooper has driven by and appeared several times at Plaintiff's new office located in Henderson, Nevada while driving a new model red Ford Mustang bearing the licence plate "Sage 3." The pattern of stalking occurred at Plainitff's Henderson office approximately 10 times in September and October 2010. Several times, between September 28, 2010 and October 7, 2010, at around 5:00-6:00 p.m., Plaintiff observed Defendant Cooper in front of Plaintiff's office heading north to south. On another occasion, on or around October 8, 2010, at approximately 5:30 p.m., Plaintiff observed Defendant Cooper pass up and back by Plaintiff's office three times heading north to south, south to north, and north to south respectively driving a red Ford Mustang bearing the license plate "Sage 3."

Defendant Cooper Driscoll has also engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff. Specifically, Defendant Cooper Driscoll created a false profile on Defendant Facebook's website using a false name and false picture, in order to gain access to Plaintiff's personal and private information. See Exhibit 3, pp. 1.

On or around October 21, 2010, Defendant Facebook removed the present case to Federal Court, thereby barring Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction on an Order Shortening Time, which was scheduled for October 25, 2010, from being heard. As a result, Plaintiff continues to suffer irreparable harm. Plaintiff filed an Ex Parte Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for a Preliminary Injunction on an Order Shortening Time on or around October 25, 2010.

On or around November 1, 2010, the Court denied Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for a Preliminary Injunction on an Order Shortening Time on the basis that Plaintiff has had ample time of which to put Defendant's on notice of Plaintiff's motion. Therefore, the Court found that Plaintiff did not meet his burden filing the Motion under exparte seal. As a result, Plaintiff now files his Motion without ex parte seal, giving due notice to Defendants.

4 5

3

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

II. LEGAL ARGUMENTS

LEGAL STANDARD FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION A.

A preliminary injunction is available if an applicant can show a likelihood of success on the merits, a likelihood that the non-moving party's conduct, if allowed to continue, will cause irreparable harm for which a compensatory damage is an inadequate remedy, the balance of equities tips in their favor and that the injunction is in the public interest. Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008).

PLAINTIFF IS LIKELY TO SUCCEED ON THE MERITS В.

1. Defendants Statements Constitute Slander and Libel Per Se

As explained above, Defendants published statements about Plaintiff, including that Plaintiff is a "faggot" and a "pedophile" which constitute slander and libel per se. On that cause of action alone, Plaintiff is extremely likely to succeed on the merits. These statements impute the violation of a crime on behalf of Plaintiff as well as impede Plaintiff's business. Therefore, Plaintiff is highly likely to succeed on the merits against Defendants.

2. **Defendants Actions Constitute Invasion of Privacy**

As discussed, Defendant Cooper Driscoll caused to be published a personal and private photograph depicting the name, image and likeness of Plaintiff along with disparaging statements coinciding with the stolen picture. Plaintiff is highly likely to succeed on the merits against Defendants for invasion of privacy, slander and libel per se, as well as all other alleged torts.

3. Defendant Facebook Facilitated and Published all Alleged Statements

With regard to all allegations related to torts committed against Plaintiff over the internet, Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll. In addition, Facebook failed to mitigate a serious privacy concern that was used by Defendants to commit wiretapping violations. As discussed, Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits against the other Defendants, and, therefore, Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits against Defendant Facebook given that all alleged statements were published and maintained on internet servers owned and controlled by Defendant Facebook in contravention with Federal law.

4. Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff

Defendant Cooper's action constitute several other torts and crimes including libel, false light defamation, and invasion of privacy, intentional interference with business relations, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress, harassment, stalking and several violations of Federal wiretapping laws. Plaintiff has personally witnessed several occasions of Defendant's stalking at multiple locations including two of Plaintiff's law offices. Plaintiff has been the victim of constant stalking by Defendant Cooper. Plaintiff has further fallen victim to Defendant Cooper Driscoll's stalking over the Internet. Therefore, Plaintiff is highly likely to succeed on this claim.

C. PLAINTIFF IS BEING IRREPARABLY DAMAGED BY DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS

Defendants have, and upon information and belief, will continue to use Defendant Facebook's internet site to publish false and defamatory statements about Plaintiff. Plaintiff, who is an attorney in the State of Nevada runs an operates a law firm in the State. Much of Plaintiff's business is dependant on the public's view of Plaintiff's moral character, reliability and reputation. Defendants' actions have already, and will likely continue to demean and diminish Plaintiff's reputation in the legal community as well as with Plaintiff's personal social community. Without the issuance of an injunction, Defendants will continue to have free reign to defame and diminish Plaintiff's reputation. Especially with the institution of the present lawsuit, Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed both personally and professionally. Therefore, the issuance of an injunction is proper.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above Points and Authorities and the attached exhibits Plaintiff has shown that it is entitled to a preliminary injunction preventing Defendants from further using Plaintiff's name and likeness to publish discriminatory, slanderous, misleading and false information about Plaintiff and preventing Defendants from further stalking and harassing Plaintiff in contravention with Federal law.

DATED this 3rd day of November, 2010.

/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074

AFFIDAVIT OF JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.

STATE OF NEVADA)	
)	ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)	

JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., having been first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I filed a Complaint against Defendants with the Court on or around October 5, 2010 alleging, among other things, several causes of action that rise to defamation of character including: slander, slander per se, libel, libel per se, false light defamation, and invasion of privacy, as well as intentional interference with business relations, intentional infliction of emotional distress, stalking and alleged several violations of Federal wiretapping laws.
- 2. I am the counsel of record in a domestic case in the Eighth Judicial District Court whereby Defendant Cooper is an opposing party.
- 3. I have made no contact with Defendant Cooper or any Defendant except through his counsel of record in the aforementioned case.
- 4. Nevertheless, Defendants have consistently and continually committed defamation and privacy violations in addition to several other purposeful tortious acts against me through the use of the world wide web and by other means.
- On or around October 1, 2010 at around 3:30 p.m., Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published defamatory and demeaning statements specifically directed at me which stated: "im on to you jonathan golddick(smith) ha faggot" and further stated "he looks like a pediphile (sic)."
- 6. Defendants published the statement of a Facebook user identified as "Ziles Thomas" which stated: "ha I want to se (sic) what that douche bag looks like.

- 7. On or around October 2, 2010 at around 6:10 p.m., Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of illegal and tortious invasion of privacy, stole a private photograph depicting the likeness of me, and, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published the stolen picture on Defendant Cooper's internet page, which is managed and maintained by Defendant Facebook.
- 8. Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll made disparaging remarks about the stolen photograph, and Defendant Cooper Driscoll acknowledged that Defendants had committed unlawful and tortious behavior by posting the following statement: "delete that picture already."
- 9. Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against me.
- 10. In around August, 2010, I observed Defendant's distinct yellow mustang with black stripes, with the license plate "Sage 3", parked outside of Plaintiff's law office located at 1212 South Casino Center Boulevard in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 11. Additionally, after I moved offices, Defendant Cooper has driven by and appeared several times at my new office located in Henderson, Nevada.
- 12. The pattern of stalking occurred at my Henderson office approximately 10 times in September and October 2010.
- 13. Several times, between September 28, 2010 and October 7, 2010, at around 5:00-6:00 p.m., I observed Defendant Cooper in front of my office heading north to south.
- 14. On another occasion, on or around October 8, 2010, at approximately 5:30 p.m.,

 Plaintiff observed Defendant Cooper pass up and back by Plaintiff's office three times heading north to south, south to north, and north to south respectively driving a red

 Ford Mustang bearing the license plate "Sage 3."

- 15. Defendant Cooper Driscoll has also engaged in a pattern of stalking against me.
- 16. Defendant Cooper Driscoll created a false profile on Defendant Facebook's website using a false name and false picture, in order to gain access to my personal and private information.

Further affiant sayeth naught.

Executed on: 11/3/10

/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq.

JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.

Exhibit "1"

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 7 Filed 10/27/10 Page 1 of 15 1 **ACOM** JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074 702.386.8637 (phone) 702.385.3025 (fax) 4 jgoldsmith@lawrosen.com In Proper Person UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 CASE NO. 2:10-cv-01845-rlh-pal JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., an 8 Individual 9 Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 JORDAN R. COOPER, an Individual; CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL, an 12 Individual; FACEBOOK, INC.; a Foreign Corporation; DOES 1 through 5 and ROE 13 CORPORATIONS 1 through 5, inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 16 COMES NOW, Plaintiff, JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), in 17 18 Proper Person, and for his First Amended Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief, pursuant to FRCP 15(a), against Defendant JORDAN R. COOPER (hereinafter "Cooper"), Defendant CHERYL 19 COOPER DRISCOLL (hereinafter "Cooper Driscoll"), and Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. (hereinafter 20 "Facebook") alleges the following. 21 //// 22 //// 23 //// 24 25 26 27 28

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., a member of the State Bar of Nevada, is, and has been for a period of more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant JORDAN R. COOPER is, and has been for a period of
 more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County
 of Clark.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL is a resident of the State of Arizona, and has, by means of the Internet, participated and conducted tortious acts across state lines in the State of Nevada and all states with access to the Internet.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. is a foreign corporation licensed in the State of California and doing business primarily as an Internet social networking website with reach to all states with access to the Internet, including the State of Nevada.
- 5. Plaintiff is counsel of record in a domestic case in the Eighth Judicial District Court whereby Defendant Cooper is an opposing party.
- 6. Plaintiff has had no contact with Defendant Cooper or any Defendant except through his counsel of record in the aforementioned case.
- 7. Nevertheless, Defendants have consistently and continually committed defamation and privacy violations in addition to several other purposeful tortious acts against Plaintiff through the use of the world wide web and by other means.
- 8. Specifically, on or around October 1, 2010 at around 3:30 p.m., Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published defamatory and demeaning statements specifically directed at Plaintiff which stated: "im on to you jonathan golddick(smith) ha faggot" and further stated "he looks like a pediphile (sic)."
- 9. Further, Defendants published the statement of a Facebook user which stated: "ha I want to se (sic) what that douche bag looks like."
- ///

- 10. Moreover, on or around October 2, 2010 at around 6:10 p.m., Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of illegal and torious invasion of privacy, stole a private photograph depicting the likeness of Plaintiff, and, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published the stolen picture on Defendant Cooper's internet page, which is managed and maintained by Defendant Facebook.
- 11. Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll made disparaging remarks about the stolen photograph, and Defendant Cooper Driscoll acknowledged that Defendants had committed unlawful and tortious behavior by posting the following statement: "delete that picture already."
- 12. Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook, published statements about Plaintiff, including that Plaintiff is a "faggot" and a "pedophile" which constitute slander per se.
- 13. Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of Defendant Facebook, caused to be published a personal and private photograph depicting the name, image and likeness of Plaintiff along with disparaging statements coinciding with the stolen picture.
- 14. With regard to all allegations related to torts committed against Plaintiff over the internet,

 Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing
 statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 15. Plaintiff, who is an attorney in the State of Nevada runs an operates a law firm in the State.
- 16. Much of Plaintiff's business is dependant on the public's view of Plaintiff's moral character, reliability and reputation.
- 17. Defendants' actions have already, and will likely continue to demean and diminish Plaintiff's reputation in the legal community as well as with Plaintiff's personal social community.
- 18. Plaintiff uses Defendant Facebook's marketing and advertising programs to advertise and market Plaintiff's business.
- 19. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has been forced to seize all advertising and marketing through Defendant Facebook given that a diminishment of Plaintiff's reputation is taking place through Defendant Facebook as a result of Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll's actions.
- 20. Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendant's actions.

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 7 Filed 10/27/10 Page 4 of 15

- 1 2
- 3
- 6

7

5

- 8
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 2627
- 28

- 21. Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 22. Most notably, in around August, 2010, Plaintiff observed Defendant's yellow Ford mustang with black stripes, with the license plate "Sage 3", parked outside of Plaintiff's law office located at 1212 South Casino Center Boulevard in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 23. Additionally, after Plaintiff moved offices, Defendant Cooper has driven by and appeared several times at Plaintiff's new office located in Henderson, Nevada while driving a red Ford Mustang.
- 24. Since the filing of the original Complaint, on or around October 15, 2010, at approximately 5:30 p.m, Plaintiff witnessed Defendant Cooper drive up and back several times in a new model red Ford Mustang with the custom Nevada License Plate reading: "Sage 3" passing Plaintiff's office located at 9029 South Pecos Road in Henderson, Nevada.
- 25. At that time, Plaintiff entered his vehicle and drove next to Defendant Cooper's red mustang and confirmed that Mr. Cooper was in fact driving the vehicle.
- 26. Defendant Cooper Driscoll has also engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 27. Specifically, Defendant Cooper Driscoll created a false profile on Defendant Facebook's website using a false name and false picture, in order to gain access to Plaintiff's personal and private information.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of creating a false identity as described in Paragraph 27, or by other unlawful and tortious means, has gained access to Plaintiff's private and secure information.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander against all Defendants)

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 30. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 32. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 7 Filed 10/27/10 Page 5 of 15

- 33. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 34. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 35. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 36. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 37. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander Per Se against all Defendants)

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 39. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 40. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 41. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 42. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and would tend to injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office.
- 43. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.

- 44. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 45. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 46. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel against all Defendants)

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 48. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 49. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 50. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 51. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 52. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 53. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 54. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.

55. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel Per Se against all Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 57. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 58. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 59. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 60. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and would tend to injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office.
- 61. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 62. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 63. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 64. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

1//

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Light Defamation against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 65. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 66. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral and written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 67. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 68. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 69. Defendants' actions placed Plaintiff in a false light in a way that the general public would find highly offensive.
- 70. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 71. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 72. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 73. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 74. Defendants intentionally and maliciously intruded into Plaintiff's private affairs.
- 75. Defendants' intrusion would be found highly offensive to the general public.

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 7 Filed 10/27/10 Page 9 of 15

- 76. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 77. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 78. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Interference with Business Relations against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 79. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 80. Plaintiff conducts business as an Attorney in the State of Nevada.
- 81. Plaintiff previously held contracts with Defendant Facebook for marketing and advertising over the Internet.
- 82. Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll knew or should have known of the existence of Plaintiff's business in the state of Nevada and the advertising and marketing contracts with Defendant Facebook.
- 83. Defendants intentionally made false claims about Plaintiff with the intent to drive business away and induce the interference with Plaintiff's contracts.
- 84. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 85. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.

86. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 87. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 88. Defendants engaged in outrageous conduct wilfully and maliciously directed at Plaintiff with the intent to cause emotional distress.
- 89. Plaintiff suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendants' intentional actions.
- 90. Defendants' actions were the proximate cause of Plaintiff's extreme emotional distress.
- 91. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 92. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 93. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Stalking against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 94. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 95. Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll, without lawful authority, willfully or maliciously engaged in a course of conduct that would cause a reasonable person to feel

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 7 Filed 10/27/10 Page 11 of 15

- terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 96. Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 97. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 98. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 99. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(a) against All Defendants)

- 100. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 101. Defendants intentionally intercepted or endeavored to intercept, an electronic communication that was of private nature to Plaintiff.
- 102. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the wiretapping violations by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll via Defendant Facebook's internet servers.
- 103. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 104. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.

105. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(c) against All Defendants)

- 106. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 107. Defendant intentionally disclosed, or endeavored to disclose, to other persons the contents of electronic ecommunication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection.
- 108. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the wiretapping violations by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll via Defendant Facebook's internet servers.
- 109. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 110. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 111. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(e) against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

112. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:

- 113. Defendants intentionally disclosed communications unlawfully obtained in contravention of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(a).
- 114. Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the wiretapping violations by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll via Defendant Facebook's internet servers.
- 115. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 116. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 117. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Permanent Injunction against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 118. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 119. Defendants have in the past, and are likely to continue in the future, to cause serious irreparable harm to Plaintiff.
- 120. Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' name and likeness in connection with false and/or misleading communications.
- 121. Plaintiff is additionally entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants' actions that constitute stalking and harassment.
- 122. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	١.
13	
14	
15	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as follows:

- 1. For damages in excess of \$10,000.00 against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 2. For exemplary or punitive damages against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 3. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 4. For a permanent injunction against each Defendant as alleged in the Complaint; and
- 5. For any other relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 27th day of October, 2010.

/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq.

JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805 9029 South Pecos Road, #2800 Henderson, Nevada 89074

702.386.8637 (phone) 702.385.3025 (fax)

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 11-1 Filed 11/03/10 Page 16 of 38

	Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document / Filed 10/27/10 Page 15 of 15
,	
2	VERIFICATION
3	
4	State of Nevada)
5) ss:
6	County of Clark)
7	I, Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq., under the penalty of perjury, do hereby swear and affirm that
8	all allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint are made from fact and personal knowledge, unless
9	made by information and belief, in which case are stated to be made by information and belief.
10	Dated this 27th day of October, 2010
11	butod unit 27th day of colocol, 2010
12	
13	
14	/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq.
15	JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 11-1 Filed 11/03/10 Page 17 of 38

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., a member of the State Bar of Nevada, is, and has been for a period of more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant JORDAN R. COOPER is, and has been for a period of
 more than six months prior to the filing of this action, a resident of the State of Nevada, County
 of Clark.
 - 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant CHERYL COOPER DRISCOLL is a resident of the State of Arizona, and has, by means of the Internet, participated and conducted tortious acts across state lines in the State of Nevada and all states with access to the Internet.
 - 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. is a foreign corporation licensed in the State of California and doing business primarily as an Internet social networking website with reach to all states with access to the Internet, including the State of Nevada.
 - 5. Plaintiff is counsel of record in a domestic case in the Eighth Judicial District Court whereby Defendant Cooper is an opposing party.
 - 6. Plaintiff has had no contact with Defendant Cooper or any Defendant except through his counsel of record in the aforementioned case.
 - 7. Nevertheless, Defendants have consistently and continually committed defamation and privacy violations in addition to several other purposeful tortious acts against Plaintiff through the use of the world wide web and by other means.
 - 8. Specifically, on or around October 1, 2010 at around 3:30 p.m., Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published defamatory and demeaning statements specifically directed at Plaintiff which stated: "im on to you jonathan golddick(smith) ha faggot" and further stated "he looks like a pediphile (sic)."
 - 9. Further, Defendants published the statement of a Facebook user which stated: "ha I want to se (sic) what that douche bag looks like."

- 10. Moreover, on or around October 2, 2010 at around 6:10 p.m., Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of illegal and torious invasion of privacy, stole a private photograph depicting the likeness of Plaintiff, and, by means of Defendant Facebook's internet social networking website, published the stolen picture on Defendant Cooper's internet page, which is managed and maintained by Defendant Facebook.
- 11. Defendants Cooper and Cooper Driscoll made disparaging remarks about the stolen photograph, and Defendant Cooper Driscoll acknowledged that Defendants had committed unlawful and tortious behavior by posting the following statement: "delete that picture already."
- 12. Defendant Cooper, by means of Defendant Facebook, published statements about Plaintiff, including that Plaintiff is a "faggot" and a "pedophile" which constitute slander per se.
- 13. Defendant Cooper Driscoll, by means of Defendant Facebook, caused to be published a personal and private photograph depicting the name, image and likeness of Plaintiff along with disparaging statements coinciding with the stolen picture.
- 14. With regard to all allegations related to torts committed against Plaintiff over the internet,
 Defendant Facebook facilitated, published or neglected to mitigate the defamatory and harassing
 statements and comments published by Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll.
- 15. Plaintiff, who is an attorney in the State of Nevada runs an operates a law firm in the State.
- 16. Much of Plaintiff's business is dependant on the public's view of Plaintiff's moral character, reliability and reputation.
- 17. Defendants' actions have already, and will likely continue to demean and diminish Plaintiff's reputation in the legal community as well as with Plaintiff's personal social community.
- 18. Plaintiff uses Defendant Facebook's marketing and advertising programs to advertise and market Plaintiff's business.
- 19. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has been forced to seize all advertising and marketing through Defendant Facebook given that a diminishment of Plaintiff's reputation is taking place through Defendant Facebook as a result of Defendant Cooper and Defendant Cooper Driscoll's actions.
- 20. Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendant's actions.

- 21. Defendant Cooper has engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 22. Most notably, in around August, 2010, Plaintiff observed Defendant's yellow Ford mustang with black stripes, with the license plate "Sage 3", parked outside of Plaintiff's law office located at 1212 South Casino Center Boulevard in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 23. Additionally, after Plaintiff moved offices, Defendant Cooper has driven by and appeared several times at Plaintiff's new office located in Henderson, Nevada while driving a red Ford Mustang.
- 24. Defendant Cooper Driscoll has also engaged in a pattern of stalking against Plaintiff.
- 25. Specifically, Defendant Cooper Driscoll created a false profile on Defendant Facebook's website using a false name and false picture, in order to gain access to Plaintiff's personal and private information.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander against all Defendants)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 27. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 28. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 29. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 30. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 31. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000,00.
- 32. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 33. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Slander Per Se against all Defendants)

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 35. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 37. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 38. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and would tend to injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office
- 39. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 40. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 41. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel against all Defendants)

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 43. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 44. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.

- 45. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 46. Defendants' publication of the communication was a legal cause of special damages to the plaintiff.
- 47. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 48. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 49. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Libel Per Se against all Defendants)

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 51. Defendants made a false and defamatory written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 52. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 53. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 54. Defendants communication imputes to Plaintiff the commission of a crime and would tend to injure Plaintiff in his trade, profession, business and office
- 55. As result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

- 56. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 57. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Light Defamation against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 59. Defendants made a false and defamatory oral and written communication concerning Plaintiff.
- 60. Defendants' communication was published to a third party.
- 61. Defendants knew or should have known the communication was false and that it defamed Plaintiff.
- 62. Defendants' actions placed Plaintiff in a false light in a way that the general public would find highly offensive.
- 63. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 64. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 65. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 66. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 67. Defendants intentionally and maliciously intruded into Plaintiff's private affairs.
- 68. Defendants' intrusion would be found highly offensive to the general public.
- 69. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 70. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 71. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Interference with Business Relations against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 72. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 73. Plaintiff conducts business as an Attorney in the State of Nevada.
- 74. Plaintiff previously held contracts with Defendant Facebook for marketing and advertising over the Internet.
- 75. Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll knew or should have known of the existence of Plaintiff's business in the state of Nevada and the advertising and marketing contracts with Defendant Facebook.

- 76. Defendants intentionally made false claims about Plaintiff with the intent to drive business away and induce the interference with Plaintiff's contracts.
- 77. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 78. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 79. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 80. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 81. Defendants engaged in outrageous conduct wilfully and maliciously directed at Plaintiff with the intent to cause emotional distress.
- 82. Plaintiff suffered extreme emotional distress as a result of Defendants' intentional actions.
- 83. Defendants' actions were the proximate cause of Plaintiff's extreme emotional distress.
- 84. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 85. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 86. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Stalking against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 87. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 88. Defendant Cooper, without lawful authority, willfully or maliciously engages in a course of conduct that would cause a reasonable person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 89. Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed or fearful for the immediate safety of a family or household member.
- 90. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 91. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 92. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(a) against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 93. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 94. Defendants intentionally intercepted or endeavored to intercept, an electronic communication that was of private nature to Plaintiff.
- 95. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

96. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.

97. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(e) against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 98. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 99 Defendants intentionally disclosed communications unlawfully obtained in contravention of U.S.C. 18 § 2511(a).
- 100. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 101. Defendants' actions constitute acts of conscious disregard, fraud, malice or oppression, and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary or punitive damages as a result.
- 102. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Permanent Injunction against Defendant Cooper and Cooper Driscoll)

- 103. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the preceding paragraphs although fully incorporated herein. Plaintiff further alleges:
- 104. Defendants have in the past, and are likely to continue in the future, to cause serious irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

- 105. Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' name and likeness in connection with false and/or misleading communications.
- 106. Plaintiff is additionally entitled to a permanent injunction against Defendants' actions that constitute stalking and harassment.
- 107. It has become necessary for the Plaintiff to retain the services of counsel to prosecute these claims and is entitled to any and all costs incurred herein, including, without limitation, any and all attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as follows:

- 1. For damages in excess of \$10,000.00 against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 2. For exemplary or punitive damages against each Defendant for each cause of action as alleged in the Complaint;
- 3. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 4. For a permanent injunction against each Defendant as alleged in the Complaint; and
- 5. For any other relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 5th day of October, 2010.

/s/ Jonathan B. Goldsmith, Esq.

JONATHAN B. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11805
ROSENFELD & RINATO
9029 South Pecos Road, #2800
Henderson, Nevada 89074
702.386.8637 (phone)
702.385.3025 (fax)

Case 2:10-cv-01845-RLH-PAL Document 11-1 Filed 11/03/10 Page 29 of 38