Plaintiffs,

CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORLANDO FELIX PAGULAYAN, et al.,

ALLIED HOME MORTGAGE CAPITAL

3

1

2

4

5

6

v.

7 8

9

10

1112

13

1415

13

16 17

18

19

21

20

22

24

23

25

26

Case No. 2:10-CV-01849-KJD-LRL

## <u>ORDER</u>

Currently before the Court is Defendants Bank of America, Recontrust Company, N.A., and U.S. Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss (#5), filed October 28, 2010. To date, Plaintiffs have failed to file points and authorities in opposition to Defendants' Motion as allowed by Local Rule 7-2. Local Rule 7-2(d) allows the Court to consider failure to file points and authorities in opposition as consent to the granting of the motion.

Additionally, the Court has reviewed Defendants' Motion, and finds it to have merit.

Defendants seek that the Court dismiss Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Specifically, Defendants aver that Recontrust had the proper authority to record the Notice of Default, that Defendants satisfied N.R.S. §§ 107.087 and 107.085, and that Plaintiffs' argument regarding the power of sale is unsupported.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants Bank of America, Recontrust Company, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss (#5) is **GRANTED** pursuant to LR 7-2(d).

DATED this 22nd day of November 2010.

Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge