I

1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	ROGER STEWART, #79447,)) 2:10-CV-01894-PMP-GWF
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
10	HOWARD SKOLNIK, <i>et al.</i> ,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #7) filed
14	February 3, 2011. Although the Court has extended Plaintiff's deadline to respond
15	to Defendants' motion, Plaintiff has failed to do so and therefore consents to the
16	granting of Defendants' motion. Moreover, a review of Defendants' Motion to
17	Dismiss shows that Defendants are entitled to the relief requested because Plaintiff
18	has failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies.
19	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to
20	Dismiss (Doc. #7) is GRANTED and that judgment is hereby entered in favor of
21	Defendants and against Plaintiff.
22	DATED: March 23, 2011.
23	
24	Phip m. On
25	PHILIP M. PRO
26	United States District Judge

Dockets.Justia.com