

loss, or damage will result before the adverse party's opposition to a motion for preliminary
 injunction can be heard. The Supreme Court has stated that courts must consider the following
 factors in determining whether to issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction: (1)
 a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) possibility of irreparable injury if preliminary relief is not
 granted; (3) balance of hardships; and (4) advancement of the public interest. *Winter v. N.R.D.C.*,
 129 S. Ct. 365, 374–76 (2008).

Although plaintiff has not specifically pleaded a claim for relief, the court adduces three: (1)
a request for injunctive relief, (2) a claim of wrongful foreclosure, and (3) a request to quiet title.
However, the court is unable to grant plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order based on
any of these three possible claims, because the plaintiff has failed the first element of the *Winter* test
and is not likely to succeed on the merits.

12 The first claim is a remedy not a cause of action. Furthermore, plaintiff has not stated when13 the foreclosure sale is scheduled; if the sale has occurred, the motion is moot.

Insofar as plaintiff is claiming wrongful foreclosure, her claim is unsuccessful, because
plaintiff has failed to allege that she is current on her mortgage payments. *See Collins v. Union Federal*, 662 P.2d 610, 623 (Nev. 1983) (holding that the mortgagor must establish that, at the time
the power of sale was exercised, the mortgagor had not breached the mortgage agreement). Similarly,
"[a] trustor cannot quiet title without discharging his debt. The cloud upon his title persists until the
debt is paid." *Aguilar v. Bocci*, 39 Cal. App. 3d 475, 478 (1974).

Accordingly,

20

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for
temporary restraining order (doc. #12) and amended motion for temporary restraining order (doc.
#13) are hereby DENIED.

DATED February 24, 2011.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge