Ifil v. E	Bishop Corporate Solutions	Doc. 24
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	WILLIS IFIL,) Case No. 2:10-cv-02010-JCM-PAL	
8	Plaintiff,) ORDER	
9	vs.	
10	BISHOP CORPORATE SOLUTIONS,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	This matter is before the court on the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order	
14	(Dkt. #23) filed on October 18, 2011. On October 4, 2011, the court entered a Scheduling Order (Dkt.	t.
15	#22) because the parties failed to submit a stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order in complian	ice
16	with Local Rule 26-1. The parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Dkt. #23) reques	sts
17	296 days to complete discovery which is substantially more time than the 180 days deemed	
18	presumptively reasonable by Local Rule 26-1(e). Additionally, the parties proposed plan does not	
19	comply with the requirements of LR 26-1(e) which requires that any request for special scheduling	
20	review provide a statement of reasons why longer or different time periods should apply. Accordingly	7,
21	IT IS ORDERED Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Dkt. #23) is not approved	d
22	and is DENIED , and the deadlines established in the court's Scheduling Order (Dkt. #22) shall apply	
23	Dated this 24th day of October, 2011.	
24		
25	DEGGV FEN	
26	PEGGY A LEEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27		
28		

-PAL