-GWF Brodzki v.	. Gillispie Il	Doc. ·	10
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	ANTHONY J. BRODZKI,		
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:10-CV-02051-KJD-GWF	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	DOUG GILLISPIE, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Before the Court for consideration is the Report & Recommendation (#5) of Magistrate		
17	JudgeGeorge Foley, Jr entered May 4, 2011, recommending that this action be dismissed with		
18	prejudice. Plaintiff has filed an objection (#7).		
19	The Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the record in this case in accordance with 28		
20	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. Based on its review, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's		
21	determination that the allegations of the complaint are fantastic, delusional and irrational. The Court		
22	further agrees that it is clear from the face of the amended complaint (#4) that the deficiencies cannot		
23	be cured by amendment. Plaintiff's opposition fails to raise coherent arguments showing why the		
24	Report & Recommendation should not be adopted. The Court determines that the Report &		
25	Recommendation (#5) of the United States Magistrate Judge entered May 4, 2011, should be		
26	ADOPTED and AFFIRMED.		
		Dockete Justin en	

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report & Recommendation (#5) entered May 4, 2011, is **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court terminate this case and entered judgment in favor of Defendants.

DATED this 30th day of July 2012.

Kent J. Dawson

United States District Judge