

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

LOUIS VIGNOLA, individually;  
TAMARA HARLESS, as Special  
Administrator for the Estate of NANCY  
MARIE OUELLET; LOUIS VIGNOLA,  
as Guardian ad Litem for CAROLYN  
VIGNOLA, a minor; and LOUIS  
VIGNOLA, as Guardian ad Litem for  
GABRIEL VIGNOLA, a minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHARLES ALFRED GILMAN, JR.,  
AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE  
COMPANY, MUTUAL OF ENUMCLAW  
INSURANCE COMPANY, DOES I-X  
AND ROE CORPORATIONS XI-XX,  
inclusive

Defendants.

2:10-CV-02099-PMP-GWF

ORDER

Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs’ Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. #67),  
filed February 8, 2012. On February 27, 2012, Defendant Auto-Owners Insurance  
Company (“Defendant Auto-Owners”) filed an Opposition (Doc. #72), and Defendant  
Charles Alfred Gilman (“Defendant Gilman”) filed an Opposition (Doc. #73). On March 7,  
2012, Plaintiffs filed a Reply to both Oppositions (Docs. #78, 79), and Defendant Auto-  
Owners filed a Supplemental Response (Doc. #80).

Having read and considered the filings before the Court, the Court finds  
excusable neglect justifying relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).  
Accordingly, the Court will grant Plaintiffs’ Motion for Reconsideration as it relates to the


1 Court's Order on Defendants' Motion to Determine Applicable Law (Doc. #64). Plaintiffs  
2 shall file an Opposition to Defendants Motion to Determine Applicable Law by March 28,  
3 2012, and Defendants shall have seven (7) days after service of the Opposition to file a  
4 Reply. The Court will deny Plaintiffs' request to enforce a settlement agreement between  
5 Plaintiffs and Defendant Gilman. The Court will grant a thirty (30) day extension for the  
6 deadlines for close of discovery and dispositive motions. The new discovery deadlines will  
7 be as follows:

8 Close of discovery: May 9, 2012

9 Dispositive Motions: June 9, 2012

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration  
11 (Doc. #67) is hereby GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The Motion is GRANTED  
12 as to Plaintiff's request for reconsideration of the Court's Order on Defendants Motion to  
13 Determine Applicable Law (Doc. #64) and Plaintiffs' request for a thirty (30) day extension  
14 for the deadlines for close of discovery and dispositive motions. The Motion is DENIED in  
15 all other respects.

16 DATED: March 14, 2012

17   
18 PHILIP M. PRO  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26