Fuoroli et al v.	Westgate Planet Holl	ywood Las Vegas,	, LLC, Co	ompany et al

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL C. FUOROLI and TIFFANY S. FUOROLI, husband and wife,

Plaintiffs,

WESTGATE PLANET HOLLYWOOD LAS VEGAS, LLC, *et al.*,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:10-cv-02191-JCM-GWF

ORDER

Motion for an Extension of Time to File an Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Time Regarding Discovery (#92)

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Westgate Planet Hollywood Las Vegas, LLC and Central Florida Investments, Inc.'s ("Defendants") Motion for an Extension of Time to File an Opposition (#92), filed on July 11, 2013. Plaintiffs filed a Response (#93) on July 11, 2013.

On June 27, 2013, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Reopen Discovery (#88). Local Rule 7-2(b), after including the three days allotted for mailing, provides an opposing party 17 days to respond to any motion. Defendants filed the instant Motion (#92) for an extension of time within the 17-day response period. Plaintiffs assert that the Court deemed their Motion (#88) "ripe" when it was filed, thus precluding any response to the Motion from Defendants. The subject "ripe" designation, however, was automatically generated by the CM/ECF system when Plaintiffs filed the Motion (#88), and does not reflect any determination by the Court. Defendants seek an additional 10 days to file an opposition to the Motion (#88), citing numerous scheduling conflicts. The Court finds that Defendants establish good cause for an extension. Accordingly,

28

...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

vs.