-RJJ Cox v. Neven e	et al	Do
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTR	ICT OF NEVADA
8	* * *	
9	STEVEN MICHAEL COX,)
10	Plaintiff,) 2:11-cv-103-KJD-RJJ
11	VS.	
12	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,) ORDER
13 14	Defendant,)
15	This matter was submitted to the un	
16	This matter was submitted to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery (#25).	
17	The Court having reviewed the Motion (#25), the Response (#27) and the file herein,	
18	finds that no discovery regard Defendant Cool is necessary inasmuch as service was accepted on	
19	April 21, 2011. See, Acceptance of Service (#13). Further, this Court is not involved in Plaintiff's	
20	small claims matter. Good cause appearing therefore,	
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery (#25) is DENIED.	
22	DATED this 21st day of July, 2011.	
23		
24		Robert Holmston
25		ROBERT J. JOHNSTON United States Magistrate Judge
26		
27		
28		

Doc. 28