-RJJ Cox v. Neven	et al	D
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	* * *	
8	MICHAEL STEVE COX,	
9	Plaintiff,	2:11-cv-0103-KJD-RJJ
10	vs.	
11	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
12	Defendant.	
13	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's I	Motion for Scheduling Order (#36).
14	The Court having reviewed the Motion (#36) and the file herein finds that Plaintiff is	
15	pursuing an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals regarding an earlier order in this case.	
16	Therefore, no discovery is warranted at this time. Based on the foregoing and good cause	
17	appearing therefore,	
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Scheduling Order (#36) is	
19	DENIED.	
20	DATED this <u>7th</u> day of December, 2011.	
21		
22		
23 24	DODED	T J. JOHNSTON
		States Magistrate Judge
25 26		
26		
28		
26		

Doc. 42