

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ANDRE M. INGRAM,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SKY SECURITY SERVICES, *et al.*,

Defendants.


2:11-CV-00139-PMP-PAL

ORDER

Plaintiff has failed to timely respond to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. #15), and therefore, under the Local Rules of this Court, consents to the granting of the Motion. Moreover, a review of Defendants' Motion shows Defendant is entitled to the relief requested on the merits.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to for Summary Judgment (Doc. #15) is **GRANTED** and that the Clerk of Court shall forthwith enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff.

DATED: April 17, 2012.



PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge