| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11 | Marquis Aurbach Coffing ALBERT G. MARQUIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1919 SHANE W. CLAYTON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8783 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 amarquis@maclaw.com sclayton@maclaw.com  MICHAEL J. AMADOR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2323 MICHAEL J. AMADOR, CHTD. 800 S. 7th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-2990 Facsimile: (702) 382-0495 Attorneys for Plaintiff |          |                       |  |
|---|---|----------|-----------------------|--|
| 12  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |          |                       |  |
| 13  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |          |                       |  |
| 14  | JANE DOE, individually,   | †        |                       |  |
| 15  | Plaintiff,  | Case No: | 2:11-cv-00286-RLH-GWF |  |
| 16  | vs.   |          |                       |  |
| 17  | THE LIGHT GROUP, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DANCING MONKEY,   |          |                       |  |
| 18  | LLC, dba JET NIGHTCLUB AT THE MIRAGE; ANDREW SASSON; ANDY MASI;   |          |                       |  |
| 19<br>20  | PHIL LICADA; JAMES SCOTT; DAN DIAGOSTINO; JAMES REYES; DOES I though XXXI; ROE corporations I through   |          |                       |  |
| 21  | XXXI, inclusive,  |          |                       |  |
| 22  | Defendants.   |          |                       |  |
| 23  | STIPULATION AND C   | RDER ALL | OWING                 |  |
| 24  | STIPULATION AND ORDER ALLOWING PLAINTIFF TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT   |          |                       |  |
| 25  | Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, and Defendants, by and through their attorneys   |          |                       |  |
| 26  | hereby stipulate and agree as follows:  |          |                       |  |
| 27  | In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 15, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Plaintiff sha   |          |                       |  |

be allowed to file a Second Amended Complaint by removing Andrew Sasson and Andy Masi as

28

| 1  | Defendants and dismissing them with prejudice from this entire case, with each party to bear   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | their own attorney fees and costs, due to the fact that they were named originally only as a result  |  |  |
| 3  | of their ownership interest in The Light Group and related entities, and that there is no evidence   |  |  |
| 4  | that Andrew Sasson or Andy Masi were directly involved in the conduct alleged in the   |  |  |
| 5  | Complaint or Amended Complaint on file herein. A copy of the Second Amended Complaint is   |  |  |
| 6  | attached hereto as Exhibit 1.  |  |  |
| 7  | IT IS SO STIPULATED this 23 day of March, 2011.  |  |  |
| 8  | MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD   |  |  |
| 9  | 1 XML ma   |  |  |
| 10 | By: By: David Z. Chesnoff, Esq.  |  |  |
| 11 | Albert G. Marguis, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 1919 Shane W. Clayton, Esq. David Z. Chesnoff, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 2292 520 South Fourth Street |  |  |
| 12 | Nevada Bar No. 8783 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  |  |  |
| 13 | 10001 Park Run Drive Attorneys for Defendants Sasson and Masi Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 FISHER & PHILLIPS, LLC                                 |  |  |
| 14 | Michael J. Amador, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 2323 Michael J. Amador, Chtd.  By: SMM Mulmy (by D3 C)  |  |  |
| 15 | Michael J. Amador, Chtd.  800 S. 7th Street  By: Scott M. Mahoney, Esq.  |  |  |
| 16 | Las Vegas, NV 89101 Nevada Bar No. 1099_ Attorneys for Plaintiff 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, #950  |  |  |
| 17 | Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for All Other Defendants   |  |  |
| 18 | Attorneys for All Other Defendants   |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 | <u>ORDER</u>   |  |  |
| 21 | In accordance with the parties' Stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED  |  |  |
| 22 | AND DECREED that Plaintiff shall be allowed to file her Second Amended Complaint and   |  |  |
| 23 | Defendants Andrew Sasson and Andy Masi are dismissed with prejudice from this case, with   |  |  |
| 24 | each party to bear their own attorney fees and costs. IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |  |
| 25 | Dated this day of, 2011.   |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 | DISTRICT COURT JUDGE   |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |