UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRI	CT OF NEVADA
TODD CRECELIUS,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:11-CV-00382-KJD-CWH
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, <i>et al.</i> ,	
Defendants.	
 Presently before the Court is the Order and Recommendation (#43) of Magistrate Judge Carl W. Hoffman recommending that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#32) be granted. Plaintiff's mother, acting ostensibly as his attorney-in-fact, filed Objections (#45) to the order and recommendation. The Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. The Court finds that the Order and Recommendation (#43) of the United States Magistrate Judge entered September 14, 2012, should be adopted and affirmed. Plaintiff failed to appear for two scheduled depositions when he was represented by counsel. He also failed to appear for the hearing on his counsel's motion to withdraw, even though he was ordered by the Court to do so. He then failed to appear for a show cause hearing set by the 	
	DISTRIC TODD CRECELIUS, Plaintiff, v. LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, <i>et al.</i> , Defendants. Presently before the Court is the Orde W. Hoffman recommending that Defendants mother, acting ostensibly as his attorney-in-fa recommendation. The Court has conducted a with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. T (#43) of the United States Magistrate Judge of affirmed. Plaintiff failed to appear for two sche He also failed to appear for the hearing on his

1	magistrate judge. Despite the eleventh hour objections as to whether Plaintiff had notice, no
2	admissible evidence justifying Plaintiff's failure to appear at either deposition or either court hearing
3	has been filed with the Court. Furthermore, it is doubtful Plaintiff's mother, even acting under a
4	Durable Power of Attorney, can act as counsel for Plaintiff.
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Order and Recommendation (#43) of the United
6	States Magistrate Judge entered September 14, 2012, is ADOPTED and AFFIRMED;
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice for
8	failing to appear at his depositions and subsequent court hearings despite express orders
9	requiring his presence;
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#32) is GRANTED;
11	IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter Judgment for Defendants and
12	against Plaintiff.
13	DATED this 11th day of February 2013.
14	
15	Kal
16	Kent J. Dawson
17	United States District Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2