12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006688 GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESO. 2 Nevada Bar No. 003818 KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD. 3 3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 362-7800 5 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472 E-mail: rhoward@klnevada.com 6 gspangler@klnevada.com 7 Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST 8 NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF 9 **ARIZONA** 10 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successorin-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., a national banking association

Plaintiff,

VS.

LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC a Nevada limited liability company; BRADLEY F. BURNS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:11-cv-00386-GMN-LRL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL OR REDACT EXHIBITS FROM FDIC'S REPLY TO BRADLEY F. BURNS' OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR 90-DAY STAY

THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiff FDIC's Motion to Seal or Redact Exhibits from FDIC's Reply to Bradley F. Burns' Opposition to Request for 90-Day Stay filed on April 19, 2011. The Court, having reviewed the motion and other documents on file, being fully advised in the premises, and good cause appearing therefore, hereby orders as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that FDIC's Motion to Seal or Redact Exhibits from FDIC's Reply to Bradley F. Burns' Opposition to Request for 90-Day Stay is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the FDIC's Reply to Bradley F. Burns' Opposition to

27

///

///

28

925840 (7211-5)

Page 1 of 2

				I
				2
				3
				4
				2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
				6
				7
				8
				9
				10
KOLESAR & LEATHAM	3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380		Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472	11
		02		12
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89102		12 13 14 15 16 17 18
		s, Neva		14
SAR	est Sah	is Vega	362-78	15
OLE	320 W	La	: (702)	16
X	(L)		Tel	17
				18
				19
				20
				21
				22
				23
				24
				25
				26
				27

Request for 90-Day Stay [Doc. No. 15] be **SEALED** by the Clerk of the Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 20th day of April, 2011.

Gloria M. Navarro

United States District Judge

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Randolph L. Howard, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 006688					
2	Georlen K. Spangler, Esq.					
3	Nevada Bar No. 003818  KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD.					
4	3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102					
5	Telephone: (702) 362-7800 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472					
6	E-mail: <u>rhoward@klnevada.com</u> gspangler@klnevada.com					
7	Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE	CE				
8	CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSO	OR-				
9	IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK ARIZONA	OF				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	DISTRICT (	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
12	* :	* *				
13	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE	Case No.: 2:11-				
14	CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successor-	TOLGIG DEL				
15	in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., a national	FDIC'S REI BURNS'				
16	banking association	REQUEST				
17	Plaintiff,					
• 1	vs.					

LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC a Nevada limited

Defendant

liability company; BRADLEY F. BURNS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive, Case No.: 2:11-cv-00386-LRL

FDIC'S REPLY TO BRADLEY F. **BURNS' OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR 90-DAY STAY** 

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successor-in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., (hereinafter "FDIC") by and through its counsel of record, and hereby responds to Defendant Bradley F. Burns' Opposition to FDIC's Request for 90-Day Stay ("Opposition") of these proceedings pursuant to the provisions of 12 U.S.C. § 1821 (d)(12)(A)(ii).

Page 1 of 4

913836.doc (7211-5)

# KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD. 3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472

### **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

### A. The FDIC Is Entitled To A Stay Of These Proceedings

In his Opposition, Burns argues that the FDIC is not entitled to a 90-day stay because "this is not the FDIC's first request for a 90-day stay in this case" because "the FDIC previously was granted a 90-day stay when it was first appointed receiver for First National Bank of Nevada on July 25, 2008." Opposition, page 3. However, the case pending before this Court is not the "same case" in which the prior 90-day stay was granted. That case was in the United States District Court for the Central District of California and it was that case which led to the judgment that Burns is trying to enforce, by way of a Writ of Execution, in this case. In other words, this action on the Writ is an entirely new case, brought in a different federal court, in a different jurisdiction, and bearing a different case number. Consequently, the prior stay, granted in California, is irrelevant to these proceedings.

Burns then goes on to argue that the only time the FDIC is entitled to the 90-day stay is within 90 days of its appointment. Opposition at page 4 citing to *Praxis Properties. Inc. v. Colonial Savings Bank, S.L.A.*, 947 F.2d 49, 70-71 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991), and its progeny. In making this argument, Burns ignores the language of the 12 USC §1281(12)(A) which provides that the FDIC may request a stay for a period not to exceed 90 days "in any judicial action or proceeding to which such institution is or becomes a party." As recognized by the United States Bankruptcy Court in *In re George M. Bumpus. Jr. Constructon Co.*, 144 B.R. 1 (Bankr. D.Mass. 1992):

This Court disagrees with the Third Circuit. The *Praxis* interpretation would render superfluous that section of the statute that allows the liquidator to request a stay when it "becomes a party." In other words, if, under *Praxis*, the liquidating agent has been in a position for more than ninety days and litigation commences, it would not be able to request the stay that is clearly contemplated by the statute. This is not a fair reading of the words of the statute, which this court finds to be clear within the *Ron Pair* standard.

Id. at 3.

As stated above, this is a <u>new</u> action to enforce a judgment in which the institution has become a party. Therefore, it falls squarely within the plain language of the statute and this action must be stayed for 90-days.

## 3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 : (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472 Fel:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### B. These Enforcement Proceedings Are Moot

Since the filing of this action to enforce the California judgment, the FDIC has issued a Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76 to Bradley F. Burns. A true and correct copy of the Receiver's Certificate and cover letter are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

There is no question that the FDIC may pay creditors with receiver's certificates instead of cash. Battista v. F.D.I.C., 195 F.3d 1113, 1116 (9th Cir. 1999), citing to RTC v. Titan Fin. Corp., 36 F.3d 891, 891 (9th Cir. 1994)(per curium). Section 1821(d)(10)(A) authorizes the FDIC, as receiver, to "pay creditor claims . . in such manner and amounts as are authorized under this chapter." In Titan, the Ninth Circuit reasoned that the FDIC may use receiver's certificates as its manner of payment because requiring cash payments would subvert the comprehensive scheme of FIRREA including §1821(i)(2)'s limitation on an unsecured general creditor's claim to only a pro rata share of the proceeds from the liquidation of the financial institution's assets. See Titan, 36 F.3d at 892 (citing Franklin Bank v. FDIC, 850 F.Supp. 845 (N.D.Cal. 1994)). To require the FDIC to pay certain creditors in cash would allow those creditors to "jump the line," recovering more than their pro rata share of the liquidated assets, if the financial institution's debts exceed its assets. Battista, 195 F.3d at 1117. See also: F.D.I.C. v. Phoenix Casa Del Sol, LLC, 2011 WL 81858, \*2 (D.Ariz. March 3, 2011)(slip opinion).

Because the FDIC has issued a Receiver's Certificate to Burns, he has been paid. Therefore, this action is moot and should be dismissed.

DATED this 4th day of April, 2011.

KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD.

RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006688 GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003818 3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA. SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA

### KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD. 3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd., and that on the day of April, 2011, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of foregoing FDIC'S REPLY TO BRADLEY F. BURNS' OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR 90-DAY STAY in the following manner:

(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to FRCP 5(b)(3) and LR 5-4, the above-referenced document was electronically filed and served upon the parties listed below through the Court's Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system:

Christopher H. Byrd, Esq. Fennemore Craig 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendant/Judgment Creditor

Bradley F. Burns

An Employee of Kolesar & Leatham, CHTD.

### EXHIBIT 1

Division of Resolutions and Receiverships

March 22, 2011

Bradley F. Burns C/O Christopher H. Byrd, Esq. 300 South Fourth St Suite 1400 Las Vegas, NV 89101

SUBJECT:

10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA

RENO, NV - In Receivership

**NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE OF CLAIM** 

#### Dear Claimant:

On July 25, 2008 (the "Closing Date"), the FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, 6275 NEIL RD, RENO, NV, 89511 (the "Failed Institution") was closed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") was appointed Receiver (the "Receiver").

Enclosed you will find a Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76. The enclosed Receivership Certificate represents a formal record of your claim as allowed. As the FDIC acting as Receiver liquidates the assets of the Failed Institution, you may periodically receive payments on your claim through dividends. The Receiver pays dividends according to the priorities established by applicable law.

The Receiver will send your dividends to the address shown on your Receivership Certificate, please notify this office if your address changes.

If you have uninsured deposits, as established by the FDIC's insurance determination, you automatically have a claim for such funds. In the event you disagree with the FDIC's determination with respect to your uninsured deposits, you may seek a review of the FDIC's determination in the United States District Court for the federal judicial district where the principal place of business of the Failed Institution was located. You must request this review no later than 60 days after the date of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call (972) 761-8677.

Sincerely,

Claims Department

### 10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA (Name and Location of Bank)

RENO, NV

	RECEIVERSHIP CERTIFI	CATE OF PROOF O	F CLAIM - NO.383	3		
March 22, 2011	<del></del>					
THIS IS TO CERTI	-6214					
Of C/O Christopher	H. Byrd, Esq., 300 South F	(Name) Fourth St, Suite 1400,	Las Vegas, NV	(Tax No.)		
		(Address)				
nas made sausiacu	ory proof that <u>Bradley F. B</u>	ums				
is a creditor of the 1	is a creditor of the 10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA					
One hundred sixtee	n thousand four hundred ni	nety one and sevent	y six /100			
		<del></del>	Dollar	s upon the following		
claim to wit:			EDI	C USE ONLY		
CLAIM NUMBERS	ACCOUNT NUMBERS	AMOUNTS	DPC#/TAX CODE	AMOUNTS		
500009291-000		\$116,491.76	940.0	\$114,971.00		
TOTALS		\$116,491.76	940.1 TOTALS	\$1,520.76 \$116,491.76		
notice of assignmen	is claim, or any portion ther t has been given to the Red n paid. Please complete the ntity.	eiver and accepted b	y it and entered th	ereon before such		
Claimant should not	ify the Receiver promptly of	any change in claima	ant's address.			
	FEDER	RAL DEPOSITINEUF	RANCE CORPOR	ATION, RECEIVER		
	Ву	MHA				
		<i></i>	(Receiver)			
ASSIGNMENT OF F	RECEIVER'S CERTIFICATE	Date:				
For value received c	laimant herein named herel	by transfers and assig	ns the within clain	n to:		
	(Na	me and Address)	<del></del>			
(O)	iginal Claimant Signature)	····	(Da	ite Signed)		
FDIC accepted/enter	ed on Date:		by			

RLS7213 2