-RJJ Stickney v.	. Skolnik et al	Doc.	10
1			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7			
8	TODD STICKNEY,		
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:11-CV-00409-KJD-RJJ	
10	V.	ORDER	
11	HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,	<u>SADEN</u>	
12	Defendants.		
13	——————————————————————————————————————		
14	Before the Court is the Motion to Dismiss (#8) filed by Defendants Catherine Masto Cortez,		
15	James G. Cox, James G. Gibbons, Officer Mellinger, Ross Miller, Cole Morrow, Dwight W. Neven,		
16	Howard Skolnik (collectively "Defendants"). Plaintiff has not filed an opposition.		
17	Local Rule 7-2(d) provides that failure to oppose a motion "constitute[s] a consent to granting		
18	of the motion." Plaintiff's opposition was due October 29, 2011. The Court has examined the		
19	motion and finds that it has merit. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Motion to		
20	Dismiss (#8) is <b>GRANTED</b> .		
21	DATED this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of December 2011.		
22			
23			
24		Beral	
25		Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge	
26			
	1	I .	