## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDEL LANE,
vs.
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:11-cv-00485-JCM-NJK
ORDER
(Docket Nos. 51-55)

On June 20, 2016, while represented by counsel, Plaintiff Randel Lane filed six pro se motions: a motion to talk to Judge Mahan, Docket No 50; a motion to turn in new evidence, Docket No. 51; a motion for discovery, Docket No. 52; a motion to vacate the Ninth Circuit ruling, Docket No. 53; a motion for Clark County to turn over discovery, Docket No. 54; and a motion to turn in discovery, Docket No. 55.

A party who is represented by counsel "cannot while so represented appear or act in the case. This means that once an attorney makes an appearance on behalf of a party, that party may not personally file a document with the court; all filings must thereafter be made by the attorney." LR IA 11-6(a). The docket is clear that Plaintiff is represented by counsel in the instant case. See Docket. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Additionally, numerous timeliness and procedural defects exist in Plaintiff's motions. As Plaintiff improperly filed the motions pro se while represented by counsel, however, the Court need not reach these

Accordingly,
Plaintiff's pro se motions, Docket Nos. 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, shall be STRICKEN from the docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: June 28, 2016.


[^0]
[^0]:    issues and does not engage in a discussion of them.

