1			
2	MICHAEL W. SANFT, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 8245 SANFT LAW GROUP 520 South Fourth Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 384-5563		
3			
4			
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	CAESAR'S WORLD, INC., a Florida corporation,	CASE NO. 2:11-CV-0536 GMN-PAL	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2.11-CV-0350 OMIN-PAL	
12	VS.	DEFENDANT MARCEL JULY'S	
13	MARCEL JULY, an individual; and OCTAVIUS TOWER, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	MOTION TO DISMISS CERTAIN COUNTERCLAIMS PURSUANT TO	
14		R.CIV.P. 12(B)(6)	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(2), Defendant Marcel July, by and through undersigned		
18	counsel, herewith moves this Honorable Court to enter orders dismissing certain portions of Mr.		
19	July's Counterclaim against the Plaintiff. Specifically, Defendant requests a dismissal of Count		
20	Two of his Counterclaim for Dilution of Trademark or Tradename and further those portions of		
21	County One requesting monetary damages arising from Infringement. This Motion is supported		
22	by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, incorporated by reference herein.		
23			
24	MEMORANDUM OF POI	NTS AND AUTHORITIES	
25			
26	A. FACTUAL BACK GROUND		
27	This matter arises from alleged infringement of Caesar's World, Inc. (hereafter		
28	"Caesar's"), against the trademark or tradename "Octavius Tower," owned by Marcel July and		
	-	1 -	

1

2

3

matter, Plaintiff Caesar's World, Inc. has stated various claims against Mr. July, to which Mr. 4 July has responded and presented his own Counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In Mr. July's 5 Counterclaim, the Defendant lists two counts, one for Infringement under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 6 1116, and 1117 and a second for Dilution under 15 U.S.C. § 1125. 7 To better facilitate ongoing settlement discussions, Mr. July wishes to dismiss voluntarily 8 Count Two of his Counterclaim in its entirety, including any monetary damages contained in his 9 prayer for relief allegedly arising from Dilution. As to Count One of his Counterclaim, Mr. July 10 wishes to retain only those portions requesting injunctive relief and a recovery of his costs and 11 attorneys fees. Mr. July requests that these allegations be dismissed without prejudice. 12 **B**. LEGAL ARGUMENT 13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) allows a party requesting relief to voluntarily 14 dismiss its claims by filing a notice of dismissal "before the opposing party serves either an 15 answer or a motion for summary judgment." Otherwise, the provisions of F.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(2) are 16 applicable, which provides that:

registered in his name with the United State Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). In this

17 Except as provided in Rule 41(a)(1), an action may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper...Unless the order states 18 otherwise, a dismissal under this paragraph (2) is without prejudice.

19 In this matter, Plaintiff has responded to Defendant's Counterclaim and filed a motion

- 20 pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). From this it would appear that the provisions of Rule 41(a)(1) 21
- are not applicable, and Defendant cannot summarily dismiss his own claims by merely filing a 22
- notice. A dismissal as Defendant has outlined is therefore only permissible under Rule 41(a)(2), 23
- namely by order of this Honorable Court. Under that rule, such a dismissal should be without 24
- 25 prejudice.
- 26
- 27
- 28

1		
2	C.	CONCLUSION
3		From the foregoing, a dismissal of Defendant's Counterclaim Count One partially as
4	outlined above and Count Two entirely is in the interests of justice.	
5		
6		DATED THIS 28 th DAY OF November 2011.
7		SANFT LAW GROUP
8		
9		/s/ Michael W. Sanft MICHAEL W. SANFT, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 8245
10		SANFT LAW GROUP
11		520 South Fourth Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants
12		Attorneys for Defendants
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 3 -