

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH,
KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON



1 JAMES D. BOYLE, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 08384
 2 jboyle@nevadafirm.com
 SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH,
 3 KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON
 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 Telephone: 702/791-0308
 5 Facsimile: 702/791-1912

6 DAVID J. STEWART, ESQ.
 Georgia Bar. No. 681149
 David.Stewart@alston.com
 8 NADYA MUNASIFI SAND, ESQ.
 Georgia Bar No. 156051
 9 Nadya.sand@alston.com
 ALSTON & BIRD LLP
 10 1201 West Peachtree Street
 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424
 11 Telephone: 404/881-7000
 12 Facsimile: 404/881-7777

13 *Attorneys for Caesars World, Inc.*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 CAESARS WORLD, INC., a Florida
 17 corporation,
 18 Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,
 19 v.
 20 MARCEL JULY, an individual; and
 21 OCTAVIUS TOWER LLC, a Nevada limited
 liability company,
 22 Defendants/Counterclaimant.
 23

CASE NO.: 2:11-cv-00536-GMN-(CWH)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 CAESARS WORLD, INC.'S RULE 6(b)
 MOTION FOR ENLARGMENT OF TIME
 TO FILE ITS ANSWER TO DEFENDANT
 MARCEL JULY'S REMAINING
 COUNTERCLAIM**

24 This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff Caesars World, Inc.'s ("Caesars")
 25 Rule 6(b) Motion For Enlargement of Time to File Its Answer to Defendant Marcel July's
 26 Remaining Counterclaim (the "Motion for Enlargement of Time"), the Court having reviewed
 27 the papers submitted in support thereof and in opposition thereto (if any), and the Court being
 28 fully advised,



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

It is hereby ORDERED and DECREED as follows:

1. Caesar's Motion for Enlargement of Time is hereby GRANTED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b).
2. The Court concludes that Caesars has demonstrated that excusable neglect exists for Caesars having failed to file an Answer to Defendant Marcel July's remaining counterclaim subsequent to the entry of this Court's Order dated December 23, 2011 (Dkt. 55).
3. The Court further concludes that there is no danger of prejudice to either Defendant Octavius Tower, LLC or to Defendant Marcel July by permitting Caesars to file its Answer at this time. The length of delay in permitting Caesars to file its Answer at this time is innocuous and therefore *de minimus*, and such delay will not have any significant or prejudicial impact on these judicial proceedings. The Court determines that Caesars explanations for the delay in filing its Answer are reasonable in the context of the prosecution of this action. Caesars did not act with any intentional, devious, deliberate, or willful motives, and Caesars has acted in good faith in bringing its Motion for Enlargement of Time promptly after discovering its error and seeking appropriate relief from this Court.
4. Good cause therefore exists to grant Caesars an extension of time in which to file its Answer to Defendant Marcel July's remaining counterclaim in this action.
5. Caesars shall file its Answer to Defendant Marcel July's remaining counterclaim within three (3) court days of the entry of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE