1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

VS.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff. Case No. 2:11-cv-00678-LRH-PAL **ORDER** JACKIE K. DELANEY (Mot. To Seal - Dkt. #69) Defendants.

Before the court is Defendant Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver's ("FDIC-R") Motion to Seal Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from Progressive Casualty Insurance Company (Dkt. #69) The motion seeks to seal the Motion to Compel Discovery (Dkt. #68) which contains and refers to certain documents produced by Progressive and designated "Confidential" by Progressive pursuant to the Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order entered in this case. The motion does not comply with the requirements of Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006) in requesting to seal the documents. The FDIC-R filed the motion to comply with its obligations under the Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order (Dkt #63). The court approved the agreement and protective order to facilitate the parties' discovery exchanges without making a finding that any particular documents were actually confidential or otherwise protected from public disclosure. The court entered a separate order (Dkt #64) directing the parties to comply with LR10-5(b) and *Kamakana* in any application to file materials under seal.

As the party designating the documents as "confidential" Progressive is the party which has the burden of establishing that the exhibits are secret or confidential. Because the documents are attached and referred to in a non-dispositive filing Progressive must show and that good cause exists to keep them filed under seal by providing specific facts supported by affidavits or concrete examples to

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
	_

28

establish they are trade secret or other confidential information entitled to protection under Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and significant harm. *See Kamakana*, 447 F. 3d at 1179.

IT IS ORDERED that Progressive shall have until **November 14, 2013**, in which to file a memorandum complying with the requirements of *Kamakana*. Pursuant to LR 10-5(b) the Motion to Compel Discovery (Dkt. #68) shall remain sealed until further order of the court.

Dated this 31st day of October, 2013.

Peggy A. en

United States Magistrate Judge