The motion to stay requested a stay, or in the alternative, an extension of the court's discovery plan and scheduling order deadlines until the district judge decided the motion to amend the complaint to add additional parties. Understandably, counsel for the parties did not wish to engage in deposition and other discovery that would need to be redone if additional parties were added. The court will therefore require Plaintiffs to exercise their best efforts to serve the additional parties within 60 days, and require all of the parties to meet and confer to conduct a Rule 26(f) conference within 30 days of the newly added Defendants' answer or first appearance.

28 ///

23

24

25

26

27

IT IS ORDERED that:

- Plaintiffs' Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Decision on the Motion to Amend (Dkt. #186) is **DENIED as moot.**
- 2. Plaintiffs shall exercise its best good-faith efforts to effect service of process of the newly added Defendants within 60 days and file proof of service within 60 days of this order.
- 3. Counsel for the Plaintiffs shall initiate a Rule 26(f) conference within 30 days of the newly added Defendants' answer or first appearance, and the parties shall submit a proposed stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order within 14 days of the Rule 26(f) conference as required by LR 26-1(d).

Dated this 5th day of December, 2012.

Peggy A

United States Magistrate Judge