Ι

1				
2				
3				
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
6	FULTON PARK UNIT OWNERS'			
7 8	ASSOCIATION et al.,) Plaintiffs,)			
9	vs.) 2:11-cv-00783-RCJ-CWH			
10	PN II, Inc. et al.,			
11) Defendants.			
12)			
13	This removed class action arises out of the installation in new homes of allegedly			
14	defective high-zinc-content brass ("yellow brass") plumbing fittings. Pending before the Court			
15	are three motions to dismiss, a motion to certify class, a motion to stay class certification, and			
16	three motions to strike. For the reasons given herein, the Court denies all pending motions			
17	without prejudice, consolidates the present three consolidated cases into the Slaughter case, with			
18	Slaughter as the lead case, and stays the cases pending the Ninth Circuit's merits ruling in			
19	Slaughter. ¹ The Ninth Circuit is scheduled to hear oral argument in Slaughter on December 7,			
20	2011, and its ruling will dispositively affect all of the consolidated cases.			
21	The Court recently granted Plaintiffs' motion to consolidate two similar removed class			
22	actions with the present case. First, Case No. 2:11-cv-00812 (Dakota Condominium Association			
23	v. Uponor, Inc.), previously pending before Judge Roger L. Hunt, was filed by the same			
24				
25	¹ Ninth Circuit Case No. 10-15439 is the appeal of the merits rulings, and Ninth Circuit Case No. 10-17844 is the appeal of the award of attorneys' fees.			

1	attorneys as the present case, is brought against most of the same Defendants, and alleges the				
2	same factual background and theories of relief. Second, Case No. 2:11-cv-00830 (Wolinsky v.				
3	Carina Corp.), which was already before this Court, was filed by the same attorneys as the				
4	present case, alleges the same factual background and similar theories of relief, but is brought				
5	against a different Defendant. The Court has already made substantial rulings in another				
6	substantially similar case, Case No. 2:08-cv-01223 (Slaughter v. Uponor, Inc.), which case also				
7	has many of the same attorneys as the present case, is based upon the same factual background				
8	and theories of relief, is brought against many of the same Defendants, and which is on appeal				
9	before the Ninth Circuit.				
10	Essentially, the four cases, and probably others of which the Court is not yet aware, are				
11	largely duplicative of one another and should all be consolidated. A summary of the four related				
12	cases follows. All of the cases concern the same class allegations and theories of relief arising				
12	out of defective yellow brass plumbing fittings in Clark County, Nevada.				
13	out of defectiv	ve yenow brass plumbing mungs in Clark Co	unty, Nevada.		
13 14	Case	Plaintiff Firms	Defendants		
		Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard	Defendants Uponor, Inc.		
14	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Kemp, Jones & Coulthard	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing		
14 15	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Maddox & Associates Canepa Riedy & Rubino	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing		
14 15 16	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Maddox & Associates Canepa Riedy & Rubino Bailey Kennedy Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer		
14 15 16 17	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Maddox & Associates Canepa Riedy & Rubino Bailey Kennedy Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin Harrison, Kemp & Jones Carraway & Associates	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply		
14 15 16 17 18	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff Firms Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Maddox & Associates Canepa Riedy & Rubino Bailey Kennedy Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin Harrison, Kemp & Jones	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply		
14 15 16 17 18 19	<u>Case</u>	Plaintiff FirmsHarrison, Kemp, Jones & CoulthardLynch, Hopper & SalzanoKemp, Jones & CoulthardMaddox & AssociatesCanepa Riedy & RubinoBailey KennedyWolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & RabkinHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & Salzano	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply Uponor Corp. Uponor Group PN II, Inc. d/b/a Pulte Homes		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<u>Case</u> Slaughter	Plaintiff FirmsHarrison, Kemp, Jones & CoulthardLynch, Hopper & SalzanoKemp, Jones & CoulthardMaddox & AssociatesCanepa Riedy & RubinoBailey KennedyWolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & RabkinHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law Office	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply Uponor Corp. Uponor Group PN II, Inc. d/b/a Pulte Homes D.R. Horton, Inc. Wirsbo Co.		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<u>Case</u> Slaughter	Plaintiff FirmsHarrison, Kemp, Jones & CoulthardLynch, Hopper & SalzanoKemp, Jones & CoulthardMaddox & AssociatesCanepa Riedy & RubinoBailey KennedyWolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & RabkinHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesMaddox & AssociatesMaddox & Rubino	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply Uponor Corp. Uponor Group PN II, Inc. d/b/a Pulte Homes D.R. Horton, Inc. Wirsbo Co. Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc.		
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<u>Case</u> Slaughter	Plaintiff FirmsHarrison, Kemp, Jones & CoulthardLynch, Hopper & SalzanoKemp, Jones & CoulthardMaddox & AssociatesCanepa Riedy & RubinoBailey KennedyWolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & RabkinHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law Office	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply Uponor Corp. Uponor Group PN II, Inc. d/b/a Pulte Homes D.R. Horton, Inc. Wirsbo Co. Uponor, Inc.		
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	<u>Case</u> Slaughter	Plaintiff FirmsHarrison, Kemp, Jones & CoulthardLynch, Hopper & SalzanoKemp, Jones & CoulthardMaddox & AssociatesCanepa Riedy & RubinoBailey KennedyWolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & RabkinHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesSmith Law OfficeLynch, Hopper & SalzanoHarrison, Kemp & JonesCarraway & AssociatesMaddox & AssociatesMaddox & Rubino	Defendants Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. RCR Plumbing Interstate Plumbing United Plumbing Ferguson Enterprises Hughes Water & Sewer Standard Wholesale Supply HD Supply Uponor Corp. Uponor Group PN II, Inc. d/b/a Pulte Homes D.R. Horton, Inc. Wirsbo Co. Uponor, Inc. Uponor North America, Inc. Uponor Corp.		

1	Dakota	Lynch, Hopper & Salzano	Uponor, Inc.			
2		Harrison, Kemp & Jones Carraway & Associates	Uponor North America, Inc. Uponor Corp.			
3		Maddox & Associates Canepa Riedy & Rubino	Uponor Wirsbo Co. Wirsbo Co.			
4		Harrison, Kemp, Jones & Coulthard Kemp, Jones & Coulthard				
5	Wolinsky	Lynch, Hopper & Salzano Harrison, Kemp & Jones	Carina Corp.			
6		Carraway & Associates Kemp, Jones & Coulthard				
7		Canepa Riedy & Rubino Maddox & Associates				
8	CONCLUSION					
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED without prejudice.					
10						
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Case Nos. 2:11-cv-00783, 2:11-cv-00812, and 2:11-					
12	cv-00830 are CONSOLIDATED into Case No. 2:08-cv-01223, with Case No. 2:08-cv-01223 as					
13	the lead case. The Clerk shall enter this order into the dockets of all four cases.					
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all four consolidated cases are STAYED pending the					
15	opinion and mandate in Ninth Circuit Case No. 10-15439.					
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel shall file a notice in the Slaughter docket					
10	whenever and	other substantially similar case is filed	in or removed to a court of this District.			
	IT IS	FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing	g set for November 8, 2011 is VACATED.			
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
19	Dated	this 21st day of October, 2011.				
20	$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A})$					
21	ROBERT/C. JONES					
22	United States District Judge					
23						
24						
25	Page 3 of 3					