

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RONALD R. SANTOS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 2:11-cv-01251-KJD-NJK
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
ISIDRO BACA, et al.,	)	(Docket Nos. 191, 192, 193)
	)	
Defendants.	)	

Pending before the Court are Plaintiff’s motion to compel discovery, Docket No. 191; Plaintiff’s motion for imposition of sanctions, Docket No. 192; and Plaintiff’s motion to hold Defendant Cole Morrow in contempt of court if non-compliant, Docket No. 193. All three motions are based upon Plaintiff’s assumption that Defendant Morrow will not provide responses to currently-outstanding discovery requests. “A claim resting upon contingent future events that may not occur as anticipated, or indeed may not occur at all, is not fit for adjudication.” *Texas v. United States*, 523 U.S. 296 (1998) (internal citations omitted). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff’s motions, Docket Nos. 191, 192, and 193, as they are not ripe.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 23, 2015.




---

NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge