Santos v. Allen et al Doc. 40 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 10 RONALD SANTOS, 11 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:11-CV-1251-KJD-NJK 12 <u>ORDER</u> AWD ISIDRO BACA, et al., 13 14 Defendants. 15 16 Before the Court is Isidro Baca et. al.'s ("Defendants") Motion to Strike Plaintiff Ronald 17 Santos' Second Amended Complaint (#36). Plaintiff responded (#38) and Defendants replied (#39). 18 Previously, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion to Amend (#30), but specifically delimited 19 the items which were to be amended (#35). Plaintiff then filed his Second Amended Complaint 20 (#36), which names additional parties to his surviving claims, alleges peripheral supporting facts and 21 contains marginal additional claims. These alterations were not authorized by the Court's prior 22 Order. 23 However, Rule 15(a)(2) counsels the Court to give parties leave to amend "freely" so long as 24 "justice requires." Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Accordingly, to facilitate the resolution of this matter, the Court 25 construes Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint as incorporating a Motion to File a Third Amended 26

Complaint. The Court has screened what is now Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (#36) under the standards used in this Court's prior orders (##7, 15), and accepts this Complaint.

However, the Court notes that justice requires not only flexibility in amending complaints, but also the timely resolution of pending legal matters. This Court has thus far indulged Plaintiff in amending his complaint, which was originally filed more than two years ago. The parties are strongly encouraged to move this matter towards resolution without delay.

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff Ronald Santos' Second Amended Complaint (#36) is **HEREBY DENIED**. It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (#36) be re-titled as his Third Amended Complaint.

DATED this 22nd day of April 2014.

Kent J. Dawson

United States District Judge