1									
2									
3									
4									
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA								
7	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORP.,   )     Case No. 2:11-cv-01283-GMN-PAL								
8	Plaintiff, ) ) <u>ORDER</u>								
9	VS. )								
10	RED HOT CORNER, LLC, et al.,								
11	Defendants. )								
12									
13	Before the court is the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. #99) which the court approved								
14	to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public								
15	access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file								
16	a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in Kamakana v. City and County								
17	of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).								
18	The court has adopted electronic filing procedures, and with a few exceptions not applicable								
19	here, the Clerk of the Court no longer maintains paper records. Special Order 109 requires the Clerk of								
20	the Court to maintain the official files for all cases filed on or after November 7, 2005, in electronic								
21	form. The electronic record constitutes the official record of the court. Attorneys must file documents								
22	under seal using the court's electronic filing procedures. See LR 10-5(b). That rule provides:								
23	Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed								
24	with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case number: "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED" All papers filed under seal will remain could until such time as the Court may dony the motion to seal or								
25									
26									
27	remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed pursuant to								
28	Local Rule.								

1	Id.	Documents	filed	under s	seal are	not	accessible	to	the	public.
---	-----	-----------	-------	---------	----------	-----	------------	----	-----	---------

The court has approved the parties' blanket protective order to facilitate their discovery exchanges. However, the parties have not shown, and court has not found, that any specific documents are secret or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by affidavits or concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to non-dispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. See Kamakana 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public access. Id. at 1180. IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the requirements of LR 10-5(b), and the

Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, with respect to any documents filed under seal.
Dated this 12th day of June, 2012.

Jugar a. See
PEGGYALEEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE