STIPULATION REGARDING PARTIAL ALIGNMENT OF CASES

///

26

Doc. 54

On Tuesday May 29, 2012, Integrated Technology Systems, Inc. ("ITS") and Green Dot Corporation ("Green Dot"), along with NetSpend Corporation ("NetSpend"), met and conferred regarding Plaintiff's Motion to Consolidate Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-01625-GMN-(GWF) (Dkt. 30) and Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-01626-GMN-(PAL) (Dkt. 24) ("the Actions"). The parties in the respective Actions reached agreement on measures to partially align the cases that the parties believe will minimize the burden of the litigations on the parties and the Court and moot ITS's Motion to Consolidate. All information required pursuant to Local Rule 26.4 was submitted to the Court on May 29, 2012 in the parties' Stipulated Request For Extension of Deadlines (Dkt. 51) and is incorporated herein by reference.

It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties through their counsel of record as follows:

- 1. The respective Actions shall be assigned to a single magistrate judge for further pre-trial proceedings;
- 2. The respective Actions shall proceed on parallel schedules through and including the discovery cut-off date as set forth below:

Defendant to serve initial disclosure of noninfringement, invalidity and unenforceability contentions pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-8	June 7, 2012
Defendant to produce documents accompanying initial disclosure of invalidity contentions pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-9	June 7, 2012
ITS to serve response to initial noninfringement, invalidity and unenforceability contentions pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-10	June 21, 2012
All parties in both Actions to exchange proposed terms for claim construction pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-13	July 5, 2012

All parties in both Actions to meet and confer regarding claim terms pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-13	July 11, 2012
All parties in both Actions to exchange preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic evidence pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-14	July 27, 2012
All parties in both Actions to submit common Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-15	August 10, 2012
ITS to submit opening claim construction brief pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-16	September 17, 2012
Each Defendant to submit responsive claim construction brief pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-16	October 5, 2012
ITS to submit consolidated reply claim construction brief pursuant to Local Rule 16.1-16 of up to 40 pages	October 19, 2012
Markman Hearing in both Actions	TBD
Expert Disclosures on Issues for which a Party Bears the Burden of Proof	30 days after the <i>Markman</i> decision
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	60 days after the <i>Markman</i> decision
Discovery cut-off date	120 days after the Markman decision

With the exception of the date for submission of Plaintiff's reply claim construction brief, which is extended by seven (7) days, all other dates remain unchanged from the Actions' respective Scheduling Order and the respective Stipulated Request For Extension of Deadlines. The Scheduling Order shall not be further modified in either Action absent a showing of good cause, and any modification of the above deadlines in either Action shall apply to both Actions.

3. The *Markman* hearings in the Actions shall proceed concurrently on a date and in a manner determined by the Court. The dispositive motion deadline and any dispositive motion

STIPULATION REGARDING PARTIAL ALIGNMENT OF CASES

1	hearings in the respective Actions shall proceed concurrently on a date and in a manner		
2	determined by the Court. Except for the scheduling coordination and common submissions		
3	noted above, the Actions will remain separate; and their respective Rule 26(f) Reports, Protectiv		
4	Orders, and Discovery Plans shall be unaffected by this Stipulation.		
5	4. Plaintiff's Motion to Consolidate	e (Dkt. 24) is DENIED AS MOOT . The hearing	
6	on Plaintiff's Motions to Consolidate set for June 5, 2012 is VACATED .		
7	DATED: June 1, 2012		
8 9 10 11 11 12 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23	Michael D. Rounds, Nevada Bar No. 4734 Ryan Johnson, Nevada Bar No. 9070 WATSON ROUNDS 777 North Rainbow Blvd., Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89107 Telephone: (702) 636-4902 mrounds@watsonrounds.com rjohnson@watsonrounds.com By: s/ Steve W. Berman Steve W. Berman Steve W. Berman (Admitted pro hac vice) Andrew M. Volk (Admitted pro hac vice) Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Nicholas S. Boebel (Admitted pro hac vice) Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 5001 Chowen Ave. S., Suite 2000 Minneapolis, MN 55410 Telephone: (612) 435-8644 Attorneys for Plaintiff Integrated Technological Systems, Inc.	W. West Allen LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 949-8230 Facsimile: (702) 949-8364 wallen@Irllaw.com By: s/ Ameer Gado J. Bennett Clark (Admitted pro hac vice) Ameer Gado (Admitted pro hac vice) BRYAN CAVE LLP 211 N. Broadway, Ste. 3600 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Telephone: (314) 259-2000 Facsimile: (314) 259-2020 ben.clark@bryancave.com aagado@bryancave.com Attorneys for Defendant Green Dot Corporation	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED this 4th day o	f June, 2012.	

- 3 -

Gloria M. Navarro United States District Judge

26