

1 answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint (#1). On February 7, 2012, Defendants filed
2 Demands for Security of Costs (#8-35) against each of the Plaintiffs in this action. Plaintiffs now
3 object to Defendants' Demand for Security Costs, arguing that the demand is untimely.

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not contain a provision relating to security of costs.
6 However, the federal district courts have inherent power to require plaintiffs to post security for
7 costs. *In re Merrill Lynch Relocation Management, Inc.*, 812 F.2d 1116, 1121 (9th Cir.1987).
8 "Typically federal courts, either by rule or by case-to-case determination, follow the forum state's
9 practice with regard to security for costs, as they did prior to the federal rules; this is especially
10 common when a non-resident party is involved." 10 Wright, Miller & Kane, Federal Practice and
11 Procedure: Civil 2nd § 2671 (footnotes omitted). It has been the policy of the United States
12 District Court for the District of Nevada to enforce the requirements of NRS 18.130 in diversity
13 actions. This is also the general practice elsewhere. *See Ilro Productions, Ltd. v. Music Fair*
14 *Enterprises*, 94 F.R.D. 76 (S.D.N.Y.1982); *Keller Research Corp. v. Roquerre*, 99 F.Supp. 964
15 (S.D.Cal.1951); *Port Construction Company v. Virgin Islands Housing Authority*, 284 F.Supp. 774
16 (D.V.I.1968).

17 A cost bond is used to ensure that the prevailing defendants can recover costs from non-
18 resident plaintiffs at the conclusion of an action. N.R.S. 18.130 provides:

19 When a plaintiff in an action resides out of state, or is a foreign
20 corporation, security for the costs and charges which may be awarded
21 against such plaintiff may be required by the defendant, by the filing
22 and service on plaintiff of a written demand therefor *within the time*
23 *limited for answering the complaint*. When so required, all
24 proceedings in the action shall be stayed until an undertaking,
25 executed by two or more persons, be filed with the clerk, to the effect
26 that they will pay such costs and charges as may be awarded against
27 the plaintiff by judgment, or in the progress of the action, not
28 exceeding the sum of \$500; or in lieu of such undertaking, the
plaintiff may deposit \$500, lawful money, with the clerk of the court,
subject to the same conditions as required for the undertaking ...

26 N.R.S. 18.130 (emphasis added). "The initial request must be made within the time limit for
27 answering the complaint." *Simulnet East Associates v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d 573,
28 575 (9th Cir.1994).

1 Here, the original time for answering the complaint was December 27, 2011. The parties
2 thereafter agreed to extend the deadline until January 13, 2012. No request for cost bond was filed
3 until twenty five days after the agreed upon deadline. Defendants fail to provide the Court with a
4 reason for the failure to timely file their demand. Instead, Defendants argue that the time for filing
5 an answer had not expired in light of the Three Day Notice of Intent to Take Default. The Court
6 finds this argument unpersuasive. The additional three days granted by Plaintiff to avoid a default
7 judgment does not extend the time for demanding a cost bond pursuant to NRS 18.130. The
8 deadline for filing an answer was January 13, 2012, as agreed upon by the parties. Defendants'
9 demands were filed twenty-five days after that deadline. The Court therefore finds Defendants'
10 Demands for Security Costs are untimely. *See Corbo v. Fidelity Federal Financial Services Corp.*,
11 2011 WL 3300336, *2 (D. Nev. 2011). Plaintiffs additionally request the Court award attorney's
12 fees in the amount of \$1400 incurred as a result of having to file this objection. The Court finds an
13 award of attorneys' fees is not warranted. Accordingly,

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Demand(s) for Security Costs (#8-35) are
15 **denied.**

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees (#37) is **denied.**

17 DATED this 5th day of March, 2012.

18
19 
20 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28