

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RANDY FORTUNATO,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRACHFELD LAW GROUP, P.C., *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-CV-01798-KJD-PAL


ORDER

Presently before the Court is Defendant Brachfeld Law Group, P.C.’s Motion to Dismiss (#16). Though the time for doing so has passed, Plaintiff has failed to file a response in opposition. On March 15, 2012, the Court warned (#18) Plaintiff that failure to file points and authorities in opposition to the motion to dismiss would result in Plaintiff’s complaint being dismissed. Therefore, in accordance with Local Rule 7-2(d) and on the merits of Defendant’s motion to dismiss, the Court grants the motion to dismiss.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Brachfeld Law Group, P.C.’s Motion to Dismiss (#16) is **GRANTED**;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter **JUDGMENT** for Defendant Brachfeld Law Group, P.C. and against Plaintiff.

DATED this 1st day of May 2012.



Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge