## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

YOEL GUERRA,

Petitioner,

VS.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Respondents.

2:11-cv-01842-JCM-RJJ

ORDER

In connection with petitioner's motion (#17) to vacate order, which arises under Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure following upon the expiration of the time period for seeking relief under Rule 59,

IT IS ORDERED that, within **thirty (30) days** of entry of this order, **respondents** shall file and serve a response to the motion. The response – subject to the proviso below – shall be accompanied by the relevant <u>portion</u> of an appropriately redacted copy of the prison legal mail log from within the period from January 9, 2012, through March 2, 2012, with a covering declaration or affidavit by a records custodian with personal knowledge as to the presence or absence of relevant entries. If there are no entries in the log reflecting that a mailing that could contain a copy of either (a) respondents' motion (#7) to dismiss filed on January 10, 2012, and/or (b) the *Klingele* notice (#9) entered on January 11, 2012, was available to be received and/or was received by petitioner, then the records custodian simply can so attest in the declaration or affidavit without the need to attach what then merely would be a completely redacted copy of pages from the log. If the mail log instead reflects that either one

or both of the mailings were available to petitioner, either the records custodian or another declarant or affiant with personal knowledge shall address petitioner's representations on page 2 of his motion as to what Ms. Rashanda Smith allegedly would attest.<sup>1</sup>

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that petitioner may file a reply to respondents' response within **thirty (30) days** of service of the response.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the clerk shall forward a copy of this order to the court of appeals via a notice of electronic filing.

DATED April 30, 2012.

JAMES C. MAHAN

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The court is aware that an appeal is pending and of the effect of an appeal on the district court's jurisdiction to entertain a Rule 60 motion. Regardless of the legal arguments presented by respondents in response to the motion, they shall provide the underlying factual material required by this order with regard to the presence or absence of relevant mail log entries.