1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 DIANE L. RHODES-LYON, Plaintiff, 8 Case No. 2:11-cy-01906-LRH-CWH 9 VS. **ORDER** 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., Defendants. 11 12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's "Motion for Notice on Federal Questions and 13 Damages: 'Title 26 Issues' - Mandate for Positive Law Codification (2 U.S.C. 285b) Public Law 14 93-544; As Affects Discovery; Local Rule 26-1(e)(1) on '26 CFR 301.7433-1(a); 25 USC 7430et 15 seq." (#20), filed September 25, 2012. Plaintiff cites Local Rule 26-1(e)(1), which describes how 16 to calculate the discovery cut-off date. The Court notes that discovery closed on September 30, 17 2012. See Scheduling Order #16. It is unclear from Plaintiff's motion whether she is requesting 18 that discovery be re-opened, but her motion does not comply with Local Rule 26-4 to warrant an 19 extension of the discovery period. Additionally, it appears as though Plaintiff disagrees with the 20 Order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#17). However, the 21 motion does not request reconsideration. Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing 22 therefore, 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Notice on Federal Questions and 24 Damages (#20) is **denied**. 25 DATED this 2nd day of October, 2012. 26 27 28 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge