Dockets.Justia.com

///

///

Rhodes-Lyons made two successive payments, but before she could pay her third installment she was served with a notice of levy on wages, salary, and other income. During the levy, Rhodes-Lyons moved out of her apartment and gave away various personal property and furniture.

On February 7, 2012, Rhodes-Lyon filed a complaint against the United States challenging the levy on her wages, and bank accounts, and alleging that the levy caused economic damages including the loss of personal property and furniture. Doc. #7. In response, the United States filed a motion for summary judgment (Doc. #23) which was granted by the court (Doc. #30). Thereafter, Rhodes-Lyons filed the present motion for reconsideration. Doc. #32.

II. Discussion

A motion for reconsideration is an "extraordinary remedy, to be used sparingly in the interests of finality and conservation of judicial resources." *Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop*, 229 F.3d 887, 890 (9th Cir. 2000). Rule 59(e) provides that a district court may reconsider a prior order where the court is presented with newly discovered evidence, an intervening change of controlling law, manifest injustice, or where the prior order was clearly erroneous. FED. R. CIV. P. 59(e); *see also United States v. Cuddy*, 147 F.3d 1111, 1114 (9th Cir. 1998); *School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County v. AcandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and finds that reconsideration of the court's prior order is not warranted. In her motion, Rhodes-Lyons simply states the court's order was in error without identifying any legal or factual basis for her claim. Further, Rhodes-Lyons cites to several federal statutes that have no bearing on the present action. As such, Rhodes-Lyons has failed to identify any actual error in the court's prior order. Accordingly, the court shall deny her motion for reconsideration.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pla	intiff's motion for reconsideration (Doc. #32) is
2	DENIED.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	DATED this 13th day of April, 2013.	Elsihe
5		Janne
6		LARRY R. HICKS
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		