1	Adam P. Segal, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 6120 Bryce C. Loveland, Esq.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 10132 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP		
4	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4614 Telephone: (702) 382-2101		
6	Facsimile: (702) 382-8135 Email: asegal@bhfs.com		
7	Email: bcloveland@bhfs.com		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11	TRUSTEES OF THE PLUMBERS AND	Case No. 2:11-cv-01995-KJD -PAL	
12	PIPEFITTERS UNION LOCAL 525 HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST AND		
13	PLAN; TRUSTEES OF THE PLUMBERS	JUDGMENT	
14	AND PIPEFITTERS UNION LOCAL 525 PENSION PLAN; AND THE TRUSTEES		
15	OF PLUMBERS AND PIPEFITTERS LOCAL UNION 525 APPRENTICE AND		
16	JOURNEYMAN TRAINING TRUST FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA,		
17	·		
18	Plaintiffs,		
19	VS.		
20	SOUTHWEST AIR CONDITIONING, INC., a Nevada corporation,		
21			
22	Defendant.		
23	Plaintiffs provide the following proposed judgment pursuant to the Court's Order filed on		
24	November 15, 2012 (ECF No. 13):		
25	Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 12).		
26	Defendant Southwest Air Conditioning, Inc. ("Southwest") failed to file a response.		
27			
28	020126\0049\1757002 1		

## I. Facts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Southwest is signatory to a Master Labor Agreement Proxy with Mechanical Contractors Association, Inc. ("MCA"), which designates MCA as Southwest's exclusive bargaining representative and authorizes MCA to negotiate, administer and make Southwest signatory to the Master Labor Agreement ("MLA") with the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of Plumbing and Pipe Fitters Local 525 ("Union").

Under the MLA, Southwest was required to contribute to the Plaintiffs ("Trust Funds") and to abide by all terms and conditions of the agreements establishing the Trust Funds as well as any rules and regulations adopted by the Trustees of the Trust Funds. Under the Trust Funds' governing documents, all Trust Fund contributions are due on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the month of work, and are delinquent if not received by that same date. The Trust Funds' Collection Policy also states that delinquent contributions accrue 14% interest and a 20% penalty. The Policy also requires delinquent employers to pay all attorney's fees and costs associated with collecting delinquent contributions.

Southwest submitted reports for February 2012 through March 2010, January 2011 through August 2011, and April 2012 through June 2012, admitting the amount of contributions due, which were not paid, with the exception of Southwest's payments for February 2010 and March 2010 contributions to the Trust Fund that were untimely. Thus, the amount of contributions owed totals \$34,218, interest totals \$5,908 (through November 30, 2012), liquidated damages totals \$7,403 and attorneys' fees and costs total \$9,027. Plaintiffs have moved for summary judgment alleging that they are due the full amount of damages claimed and that no genuine issue of material fact prevents the Court from entering judgment in the amount of \$56,556.

///

020136\0048\1757903.1

# II. Standard for Summary Judgment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Summary judgment may be granted if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a); see also Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322 (1986). The moving party bears the initial burden of showing the absence of a genuine issue of material fact. See Celotex, 477 U.S. at 323. The burden then shifts to the nonmoving party to set forth specific facts demonstrating a genuine factual issue for trial. See Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 475 U.S. 574, 587 (1986).

All justifiable inferences must be viewed in the light must favorable to the nonmoving party. See Matsushita, 475 U.S. at 587. However, the nonmoving party may not rest upon the mere allegations or denials of his or her pleadings, but he or she must produce specific facts, by affidavit or other evidentiary materials as provided by Rule 56(e), showing there is a genuine issue for trial. See Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 256 (1986). The court need only resolve factual issues of controversy in favor of the non-moving party where the facts specifically averred by that party contradict facts specifically averred by the movant. See Lujan v. Nat'l Wildlife Fed'n, 497 U.S. 871, 888 (1990); see also Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. Natural Beverage Distribs., 69 F.3d 337, 345 (9th Cir. 1995) (stating that conclusory or speculative testimony is insufficient to raise a genuine issue of fact to defeat summary judgment). Evidence must be concrete and cannot rely on "mere speculation, conjecture, or fantasy. O.S.C. Corp. v. Apple Computer, Inc., 792 F.2d 1464, 1467 (9th Cir. 1986). "[U]ncorroborated and self-serving testimony," without more, will not create a "genuine issue" of material fact precluding summary judgment. Villiarimo v. Aloha Island Air, Inc., 281 F.3d 1054, 1061 (9th Cir. 2002).

020136\0048\1757903.1

Summary judgment shall be entered "against a party who fails to make a showing sufficient to establish the existence of an element essential to that party's case, and on which that party will bear the burden of proof at trial." Celotex, 477 U.S. at 322. Summary judgment shall not be granted if a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. See Anderson, 477 U.S. at 248.

### III. Analysis

When an employer fails to remit employee benefit contributions in accordance with the employer's written obligations, the employer is subject to suit for its delinquencies. See 29 U.S.C. § 1145. Moreover, ERISA requires the Court to award unpaid contributions, interest, liquidated damages and attorneys' fees in suits to enforce 29 U.S.C. § 1145. See 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g). No question of material fact prevents the Court from awarding summary judgment here; Southwest failed to file an opposition to the Plaintiffs' motion. "The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion." LR 7-2(d). Accordingly, the Court grants Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment.

///

///

020136\0048\1757903.1

Ш

1	IV. Conclusion	
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment	
3	(ECF No. 12) is <b>GRANTED</b> ;	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter <b>JUDGMENT</b> for Plaintiffs	
5	in the amount of \$56,556 and against Defendant Southwest Air Conditioning, Inc.	
6	in the uniount of \$50,550 and against Berendant Southwest III Conditioning, Inc.	
7	DATED this 4th day of December, 2012.	
8	bera	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
10		
11	Respectfully submitted by:	
12	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP	
13	/s/ Bryce C. Loveland Adam P. Segal, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6120	
14		
15	Bryce C. Loveland, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10132	
16	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600	
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4614 Telephone: 702.382.2101	
18	Facsimile: 702.382.8135	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP and that on this 3rd day of December, 2012, I served a true copy of the foregoing **PROPOSED JUDGMENT** upon:

Jeffrey J. Whitehead, Esq. Whitehead Law Offices 2431 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 110 Henderson, Nevada 89052 Email: jay@whiteheadlaw.org

Attorney for Defendant Southwest Air Conditioning, Inc.

# **☐** a. **BY CM/ECF System**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Ebony Davis
An Employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP