

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ANTHONY BRODZKI,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
JIMMY JACKS, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

2:12-CV-2060 JCM (RJJ)

**ORDER**

Presently before the court is *pro se* plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki’s fourth motion for temporary restraining order. (Doc. #16). Plaintiff requests this court to issue a temporary restraining order “to end the harassment by police and civilian population.” (Doc. #16). Plaintiff previously moved for temporary restraining orders on February 10, 2012, (doc. #6), February 22, 2012, (doc. #8), and February 27, 2012 (doc. #11), and the court denied those motions (docs. #7, #9, and #12).

According to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, a court may issue a temporary restraining order when the moving party provides specific facts showing that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result before the adverse party’s opposition to a motion for preliminary injunction can be heard. The Supreme Court has stated that courts must consider the following factors in determining whether to issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) a likelihood of irreparable injury if preliminary relief is not granted; (3) balance of hardships; and (4) advancement of the public interest. *Winter v. N.R.D.C.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008).

James C. Mahan  
U.S. District Judge

1 Plaintiff's requested relief is too vague to be appropriate for injunctive relief. Further,  
2 plaintiff has not made a sufficient showing under the four *Winter* factors. (See Doc. #16).

3 In the court's March 3, 2012, order denying plaintiff's third motion for temporary restraining  
4 order, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause "why a pre-filing order enjoining plaintiff from filing  
5 further motions for injunctive relief without leave of court should not be entered." (Doc. #12).  
6 Plaintiff never responded to the order to show cause.

7 Accordingly,

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that *pro se* plaintiff Anthony  
9 J. Brodzki's fourth motion for temporary restraining order (doc. #16) be, and the same hereby is,  
10 DENIED.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff is hereby enjoined from filing further**  
12 **motions for injunctive relief without leave of court in this case.**

13 DATED April 27, 2012.

14  
15   
16 

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE