1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	CYBERGUN S.A., et. al.,	
8	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:12-CV-0074-KJD-GWF
9	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
10	JAG PRECISION,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (#33). Shortly	
14	before briefing commenced, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a published opinion in the	
15	case Secalt S.A. v. Wuxi Shenxi Constr. Mach. Co., 668 F.3d 677 (9th Cir. 2012) which addressed	
16	some of the legal issues at the forefront of the present action, primarily trade dress protection and	
17	functionality. However, neither party addressed the Secalt case which originated in this judicial	
18	district. Accordingly, the parties are ordered to address the relevance of <u>Secalt</u> to their positions in	
19	this litigation. Defendant shall file a supplemental brief within fourteen (14) days of the entry of this	
20	order. Plaintiffs shall then file a reply to Defendant's supplement within fourteen (14) days.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	DATED this 11 th day of July 2012.	
23		
24		
25		Kent J. Dawson
26		United States District Judge