

1 reasons' support secrecy." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1180.¹ Those compelling reasons must outweigh the
2 competing interests of the public in having access to the judicial records and understanding the judicial
3 process. *Id.* at 1178-79; *see also Pintos*, 605 F.3d at 679 & n.6 (court must weigh "relevant factors,"
4 including the public's interest in understanding the judicial process). The Ninth Circuit has indicated
5 that "'compelling reasons' sufficient to outweigh the public's interest in disclosure and justify sealing
6 court records exist when such 'court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,' such as
7 the use of records to . . . release trade secrets." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179.

8 **II. ANALYSIS**

9 In her Declaration, Antons represents that Exhibit 5 is the [rebuttal] expert report of Spectrum's
10 validity expert, Dr. Richard G. Moran. Docket No. 240, at 2. Dr. Moran is Spectrum's principal expert
11 on the issue of the validity of Spectrum's patent. *Id.* Antons further represents that, if this report were
12 to be disclosed at this point, such disclosure could injure Plaintiffs' competitive posture in a companion
13 case (the "Ben Venue Labs Litigation," 2:14-cv-980-GMN-PAL) that involves the same, or similar,
14 invalidity arguments as this litigation. *Id.* A scheduling order has not yet been entered in the Ben Venue
15 Litigation, and Antons represents that providing the opposing party in that case with its expert submission
16 would give that party an unfair advantage. *Id.*, at 2-3. Plaintiffs request that the Court seal this expert
17 report until such time as they have served expert reports in the Ben Venue Litigation. *Id.*, at 3. Plaintiffs
18 further note that the Court previously sealed this report in the instant case, based on a similar argument -
19 that providing the opposing party in a different case (the "Delaware Litigation") would provide that
20 opposing party with an unfair advantage. *Id.*, at 2. *See also* Docket No. 194, at 3.² Antons additionally

21
22 ¹

23 *Kamakana* and *Foltz* involve non-parties' attempts to obtain sealed court documents. The same
24 analysis and standards apply to a party's motion to seal. *See Pintos*, 605 F.3d at 679 n.5; *see also*
25 *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1182 n.9 (for the case before it, noting that "[t]he effective bottom line is that
the district court was determining whether documents should be sealed").

26 ²

27 At that time, Plaintiffs assured the Court that Plaintiffs would file the expert report on the public
28 docket within one day of serving the opposing party in the Delaware Litigation. Docket no. 194, at 3.
Plaintiffs make no mention in their current motion as to whether the opposing party has been served with
this report in the Delaware Litigation. *See* Docket No. 239.

1 represents that Exhibit 5 cannot be easily redacted while leaving meaningful information available to
2 the public. Docket No. 240, at 3.

3 The Court has reviewed Exhibit 5 concludes that it contains information that could injure
4 Plaintiffs' competitive posture in the Ben Venue Litigation, which warrants keeping it sealed at this
5 time, pending the expert deadlines in the Ben Venue Litigation. Further, the Court finds that both good
6 cause and compelling reasons exist to seal this information that overcome the presumption of public
7 access, and that Exhibit 5 cannot be easily redacted while leaving meaningful information available to
8 the public.

9 **III. CONCLUSION**

10 For the reasons discussed more fully above and for good cause shown, the Court **GRANTS**
11 Plaintiffs' motion to seal Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Amanda K. Antons in support of Plaintiffs'
12 Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 239). Docket No. 238 shall therefore remain under seal
13 at this time. The Court further **ORDERS** that, within one day of serving the opposing party in the Ben
14 Venue Litigation with this report, Plaintiffs must file Exhibit 5 on the public docket in the instant case.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: July 1, 2014.

17
18 
19 _____
20 NANCY J. KOPPE
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28