1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	Milton O. Crossford	\
4	Milton O. Crawford,))
5	Plaintiff, vs.) Case No.: 2:12-cv-0122-GMN-GWF
6	Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., et al.,	ORDER
7))
8	Defendants.))
9	Pending before the Court is the Motion for Leave to File Exhibits, (ECF No. 276), filed	
10	by pro se Plaintiff Milton O. Crawford ("Plaintiff"). These exhibits pertain to Plaintiff's	
11	Motion for Leave to File a Motion for New Trial, (ECF No. 270). The Court denied the request	
12	for new trial in its Order on December 23, 2016. (ECF No. 275). Accordingly, IT IS	
13	HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Exhibits, (ECF No. 276), is	
14	DENIED as moot.	
15	Also pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Transcripts on appeal. (ECF No.	
16	280). On April 21, 2017, the Ninth Circuit denied Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status and	
17	dismissed Plaintiff's appeal as frivolous. (ECF No. 291). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
18	ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Transcripts, (ECF No. 280), is DENIED as moot.	
19		
20	DATED this _25 day of July, 2017.	
21		
22		
23	Gloria M./Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court	
24		
25	¹ In light of Plaintiff's status as a pro se litigant, the Cou	

¹ In light of Plaintiff's status as a pro se litigant, the Court has liberally construed his filings, holding them to standards less stringent than formal pleadings drafted by attorneys. See Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007).