1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	
4	ROBERT A. MAUGHAN,
5	Plaintiff,
6 7)
8	vs.) NYE COUNTY SHERIFF'S) ORDER
9	OFFICE, <i>et al.</i> ,
10	Defendants.
11	This is a civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 17, 2012, the court
12	issued an order screening plaintiff's complaint. (ECF No. 6.) The order was mailed to plaintiff at his
13	address of record. On August 1, 2012, the order mailed to plaintiff was returned to the court as
14	undeliverable. (ECF No. 8.) After plaintiff updated his address on October 18, 2012, (ECF No. 9), the
15	court remailed the screening order and complaint. Again, the mail was returned to the court as
16	undeliverable. (ECF No. 11.)
17	Pursuant to Rule 2-2 of the Local Rules of Special Proceedings and Appeals, a pro se litigant is
18	required to keep the court apprised of his or her current address at all times. A litigant's failure to do
19	so may result in dismissal of the action. Local Special Rule 2-2. Accordingly, the court dismisses this
20	action without prejudice pursuant to Local Special Rule 2-2.
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk SHALL ENTER judgment accordingly and close
23	this case.
24	DATED: November 8, 2012.
25	Kent
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	Desketa lustis et