

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**


ESTATE OF LAUREN MASI, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
HARTFORD LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,  
et la.,  
Defendants.

2:12-cv-0478-LDG-PAL

On March 28, 2012, defendants filed a motion to dismiss (#4); on April 25, 2012, defendants filed a status report indicating that plaintiffs had not timely responded to the motion to dismiss (#7); and on January 18, 2013, defendants filed a notice of non-opposition to their motion to dismiss (#8). Pursuant to LR 7-2 (d) (the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion), and based on the merit of the arguments presented in the motion to dismiss,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that defendants' motion to dismiss (#4) is GRANTED.

DATED this 22 day of January, 2013.

  
Lloyd D. George  
United States District Judge