Mitchell v. Co	ox et al		Do
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	LINI	TED OT LEED D	ICTRICT COLIDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9		DISTRICTO	F NEVADA
10	TARZ MITCHELL,)	C N 2.12 0.400 RED NIII
11	Plain	tiff(s),	Case No. 2:12-cv-0499-RFB-NJK ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO EXTEND Desket No. 56)
12	VS.		
13	GREG COX, et al.,		
14	Defe)) 44(-)	(Docket No. 56)
15	Defe	ndant(s).	
16	Pending before the Court is a motion to extend the deadline to file an answer or other response to		
17	the Amended Complaint. See Docket No. 56. The motion seeks a 45-day extension, but has not shown		
18	good cause for an extension of that length. The Court instead grants Defendants a 14-day extension, up to		
19	and including August 25, 2014. Accordingly, the motion to extend is hereby GRANTED in part and		
20	DENIED in part.		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	DATED: August 12, 2014	A	
23		NANCY J. KOPPE	
24	United States Magistrate Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Doc. 58