

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

JENNIFER KWASNIEWSKI, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC., et al.,

Defendants.

2:12-cv-00515-GMN-NJK

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Defendant Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC’s Motion for Court Order Permitting Production of Records (Docket No. 137). The Court has considered Defendant’s motion and finds there is no need for a response. Further, the Court finds this motion appropriately resolved without oral argument. Local Rule 78-2. For the reasons discussed below, Defendant’s motion is hereby DENIED without prejudice.

**I. FAILURE TO CITE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Defendant seeks a Court order “authorizing the release of Mr. Kwasniewski’s employment records from Morgans and Hard Rock.” Docket No. 137, at 9. Defendant has not, however, cited a single case or statute to support its request. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), “[t]he failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in support of the motion shall constitute a consent to the denial of the motion.” LR 7-2. Accordingly, Defendant has consented to the denial of its motion.

Further, to the extent Defendant’s motion was intended to be a motion to compel, Defendant failed to provide the text or responses to any of its written discovery requests. Local Rule 26-7(a)

1 states that “[a]ll motions to compel discovery or for protective order shall set forth in full the text  
2 of the discovery originally sought and the response thereto, if any.” LR 26-7(a). Therefore, by not  
3 providing the complete text of any discovery request, Defendant’s motion fails to comply with the  
4 Local Rules. Additionally, the Court cannot determine that a particular response to a request for  
5 discovery was improper without knowing what request was made or what response was given.

6 **II. CONCLUSION**

7 Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefore,

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC’s Motion for Court  
9 Order Permitting Production of Records (Docket No. 137) is DENIED without prejudice.

10 DATED: March 17, 2014.

11  
12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28